



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

JAMES J. DAVIS, Secretary

## CHILDREN'S BUREAU

GRACE ABBOTT, Chief

# JUVENILE-COURT STATISTICS

BASED ON INFORMATION SUPPLIED BY 65 COURTS

SECOND ANNUAL REPORT

8

Bureau Publication No. 200



UNITED STATES
GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE
WASHINGTON: 1930

U. S. SUPERINTENDENT OF DUCUMENT

JUN 20 1930

## CONTENTS

	Page
The courts cooperating	1
Delinquency cases	5
Delinquency ratios	5
Children involved in the cases	8
Age and sex	8
Color and nativity	9
Where living when referred to court	11
Previous court experience	11
Sources of complaint	12
Places of care pending hearing or disposition	13
Charges	14
Dispositions	17
Official cases	17
Unofficial cases	22
Dependency and neglect cases	23
Children involved in the cases	23
Sources of complaint and charges	25
Places of care pending hearing or disposition.	26
Dispositions	27
Cases of children discharged from probation or supervision	29
Appendixes:	
A.—Courts furnishing statistical material for 1928	31
B.—Source tables	33



## **JUVENILE-COURT STATISTICS, 1928**

## THE COURTS COOPERATING

The second annual report of juvenile-court statistics is compiled from information supplied by 65 courts cooperating with the Children's Bureau in the plan for obtaining uniform statistics of delinquency, and dependency and neglect cases dealt with by juvenile courts. The number of courts participating in the plan is steadily increasing. On July 1, 1929, 104 courts were known to be using the cards and 46 more had been supplied with cards and were therefore

presumably cooperating.

Sixty-five courts sent in statistical data for the entire calendar year 1928, as compared with 43 for the year 1927.2 The names of the 65 courts reporting for 1928, with the largest city or town in the area served by each court, are given in Appendix A, page 31. For convenience each court will be designated hereafter only by the territory over which it has jurisdiction. The number of cases dealt with by each court during the year is shown in Table 1-38,882 delinquency cases, 16,289 dependency and neglect cases, and 10,429 cases of children discharged from probation or supervision during the year. Although all the courts have jurisdiction over both delinquency cases and dependency or neglect cases, cards for delinquency cases only were obtained from three of these courts, and one court reported only dependency or neglect cases. No cases of delinquency, dependency, or neglect were reported for two localities from which records of children discharged from probation or supervision were received. Only 62 of the 65 courts, therefore, reported cases of delinquency, 53 courts reported cases of dependency and neglect, 45 courts reported cases of children discharged from probation or supervision. figures, representing the number of courts reporting each type of case, will be used in the tables and discussion in this report.

As the cards were usually sent by the probation office associated with the court, the organization of this office and its relation to the court affect the completeness with which the work of the court, as to both type and number of cases, is reported.<sup>3</sup> Most of the failures

or discharge are given. If it so chooses a court may compile its own tables in accordance with the Children's Bureau plan, instead of sending in cards.

2 Juvenile-Court Statistics, 1927. U. S. Children's Bureau Publication No. 195. Washington, 1929.

3 In some localities the probation office is a separate organization.

¹ The basis of the plan is the filling out of statistical eards: A yellow card for each case of delinquency disposed of during a calendar year; a blue card for each case of dependency or neglect disposed of; and a white card for each case of a child discharged from probation (in delinquency cases) or from supervision (in dependency or neglect cases). The yellow and blue cards differ only in the lists of charges and dispositions. The cards have been so arranged that little clerical work is involved; most of the information is entered by checking. Cards and a bulletin of instructions are furnished by the Children's Bureau without charge to cooperating courts, as are franks or addressed envelopes requiring no postage for use in mailing cards back to the bureau. Cards are returned to the bureau for tabulation at least once a year, and preferably several times each year.

The Children's Bureau prepares from the cards a set of tables on printed forms for each court. These are sent to the courts for use in annual reports, if desired. The facts presented in these tables include charges, places of care pending hearing, manner of dealing with cases, and dispositions. The number of different children dealt with, the number of repeaters, and certain social facts are also shown. For cases discharged from probation or supervision the length of the probation or supervision period and the reason for discharge are given. If it so chooses a court may compile its own tables in accordance with the Children's accor

to report all types of cases were due to divided responsibility in checking cards and returning them to the Children's Bureau. Twenty of the courts did not send records of children discharged from probation or supervision. It is probable that in some of these courts the probation records were incomplete. In others, cases may have been allowed to become inactive without dismissal or removal from the list or index of active cases.

Another situation that affects the number of cases reported by a court is the extent to which records are kept of unofficial work. Unofficial cases may be defined as cases adjusted informally by the judge, referee, or probation officer without being placed on the court calendar by the filing of a petition or other legal paper for adjudication by the judge or referee. All the courts were asked to report such cases, but none was reported by 25 4 of the courts reporting delinquency cases. (See Table I, p. 33.) It is probable that in many of these courts some complaints are adjusted unofficially without any records being made.

Table 1 shows wide variation in the relative number of delinquency and of dependency or neglect cases dealt with during the year. This variation is due in part to the extent to which local agencies other than the court are caring for dependent and neglected children.

Table 1.—Number of cases of each type; cases of boys and girls dealt with by 65 specified courts during 1928

				Case	es dealt v	vith				
Court	Delin	quency	cases		dency an ect cases	d neg-	Cases of children dis- charged from proba- tion or supervision			
	Total	Boys	Girls	Total	Boys	Girls	Total	Boys	Girls	
Total	38, 882	32, 822	6, 060	16, 289	8, 376	7, 913	10, 429	8, 048	2, 381	
Connecticut: Bridgeport Hartford District of Columbia Indiana: Adams County	431 552 2, 004	354 491 1, 692	77 61 312	69 144 533	28 71 286	41 73 247	139 244 553	118 217 465	21 27 88	
Clark County	9 26 454	5 18 306	4 8 148	16 5 290	11 4 140	, 5 1 150	5 52	5 27	25	
Marion County Monroe County Montgomery County Steuben County	822 41 17 30	534 22 9 25	288 19 8 5	322	157 9	165 12	283 9 10 28	154 4 5 23	129 5 5 5 14	
Vermillion County	89 30 753	53 20 590	36 10 163	630	315	315	55		14	
Caddo Parish Ouachita Parish Minnesota:	232 257	194 221	38 36	85 115	28 54	57 61	12 40	12 23	17	
Hennepin County Ramsey County	1, 149 375	896 298	253 77	336 135	170 69	166 66	500 285	374 212	126 73	
St. Louis County (south- ern part) Missouri: Jackson County	291	242	49	47	28	19	10 317	9 275	$\begin{array}{c} 1\\42\end{array}$	

<sup>&#</sup>x27;Indiana—Clark County, Jennings County; Minnesota—Hennepin County, Ramsey County; New Jersey—Hudson County, Mercer County; New York—Buffalo, Chemung County, Columbia County, Delaware County, Erie County, Franklin County, Monroe County, New York City. Ontario County, Orleans County; North Carolina—Winston-Salem; Ohio—Franklin County; Pennsylvania—Allegheny County, Lycoming County, Montgomery County; Virginia—Lynchburg, Roanoke County; Washington—Pierce County.

Table 1.—Number of cases of each type; cases of boys and girls dealt with by 65 specified courts during 1928—Continued

100				Cas	es dealt v	with				
11				1						
Court	Delir	nquency	cases	Depen	dency ar lect cases	nd neg-	Cases of children dis- charged from proba- tion or supervision			
100			1	ļ ——	1	i				
0.00	Total	Boys	Girls	Total	Boys	Girls	Total	Boys	Girls	
New Jersey:										
Hudson County	1,850	1, 588	262		<b>_</b>		234	193	41	
Mercer County	294	272	22				94	85	9	
New York:										
Buffalo Chemung County	938 124	870 96	68 28	70 115	39 57	31 58	159	142	17	
Clinton County.	25	14	11	37	19	18	11	10	1	
Columbia County	65	50	15	116	68	48	41	24	17	
Delaware County	10	8	2							
Erie County	197	181	16	83	39	44	81	79	2	
Franklin County	44 222	38	6	49	22	27.				
Monroe County New York City	7, 204	172 6, 255	50 949	239 3, 617	124 1, 893	115 1, 724	51 2, 697	31 1, 935	20 762	
Ontario County	100	83	17	84	41	43	2,007	1, 500	102	
Orange County	33	31	2	61	31	30				
Orleans County	13	12	1	37	28	9	4	4		
Westchester County North Carolina:	888	743	145	370	187	183	537	485	52	
Buncombe County	106	92	14	84	43	41				
Winston-Salem	343	274	69	26	14	12				
Ohio:										
Auglaize County	28	23	5	46	26	20				
Clark County	395	294	101	98	52	46	21	20	1	
Cuyahoga County Franklin County	2, 636 763	2, 235 550	401 213	1, 141 613	597 310	544 303	292 374	234 325	58 49	
Hamilton County	1, 097	1, 097	210	361	161	200	257	257	43	
Lake County	67	58	9	40	22	18	11	7	4	
Mahoning County	1,854	1,578	276	185	95	90	137	125	12	
Montgomery County	534	345	189	496	265	231		<b>-</b>		
Sandusky County Pennsylvania:	49	29	20	60	35	25	14	9	5	
Allegheny County	1, 243	1,033	210	1, 018	508	510				
Berks County	103	77	26	31	11	20	14	11	3	
Lycoming County	13	6	7	25	9	16				
Montgomery County	65	54	11	34	20	1 705	0.000			
Philadelphia South Carolina: Greenville	6, 200	5, 411	789	3, 744	1,979	1,765	2, 333	1,675	658	
County	105	86	19	126	64	62	55	33	22	
Texas: Orange County	9	9		3	i	2	1	1		
Utah:	0.17	20.								
First district	347 318	296 289	51	1	1					
Second district Third district	825	709	29 116	145	73	72	47 1	42 1	5	
Fourth district	308	260	48	27	7	20	1	1		
Fifth district	453	425	28	14	7 5	9	26	26		
Carbon County	97	97					69	69		
Other counties	241	212	29	5		5	8	6	2	
Virginia: Lynchburg	279	245	34	30	16	14	69	60	9	
Norfolk	669	523	146	232	114	118	239	186	53	
Roanoke County	12	10	2	8	1	7		200		
Washington: Pierce County	154	122	32	70	29	41				
	1	· · · · · ·	l	1			1			

Most of the courts reporting have county-wide jurisdiction, but a few are serving a city only.<sup>5</sup> In most of the State of Utah the juvenile courts are organized on a district basis, each district including several counties.<sup>6</sup> Although cards were received from a number of courts in several States, Utah is the only State from which records from all the juvenile courts in the State were received.

About half the reporting courts (31) shown in Table 1 were serving areas having 100,000 or more population. The court serving the

New York City includes 5 boroughs or counties, each of which has a subdivision of the court.
 The courts for each of the remaining counties, although not organized on a district plan, have been dealt with in two groups for statistical purposes: "Carbon County" and "Other counties."

area having the smallest population was in a county having about

13,000 population.

The maximum age of original jurisdiction of these courts varied from 16 to 18 years. Of the 65 courts 25 had jurisdiction over children under 16 years of age; <sup>7</sup> 4 had jurisdiction under 17 years; <sup>8</sup> and 24 had jurisdiction under 18 years. <sup>9</sup> Of the remaining 12 courts, 11 had jurisdiction over delinquent and dependent and neglected boys under 16, delinquent girls under 18, and dependent and neglected girls under 17; 10 and 1 (Orange County, Tex.) had jurisdiction over delinquent boys under 17, delinquent girls up to 18, and dependent and neglected children up to 16 years of age.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Bridgeport and Hartford, Conn.; Hudson County and Mercer County, N. J. (girls under 17 may be committed by the juvenile court to the State home for girls); Buffalo, Chemung County, Clinton County, Columbia County, Delaware County, Eric County, Franklin County, Monroe County, New York City, Ontario County, Orange County, Orleans County, and Westchester County, N. Y.; Buncombe County and Winston-Salem, N. C.; Allegheny County, Berks County, Lycoming County, Montgomery County, and Philadelphia, Pa.; and Greenville County, S. C.

<sup>8</sup> District of Columbia; Caddo Parish and Ouachita Parish, La.; and Jackson County, Mo.

<sup>9</sup> Polk County, Iowa (this court has concurrent original jurisdiction up to 21 years but seldom exercised this privilege); Hennepin County, Ramsey County, and St. Louis County, Minn.; Auglaize County, Clark County, Cuyahoga County, Franklin County, Hamilton County, Lake County, Mahoning County, Montgomery County, and Sandusky County, Ohio; first district, seed county, Mahoning County, Montgomery County, and Ounty, and other counties, Utah; Lynchburg, Norfolk, and Roanoke County, Va.; and Pierce County, Wash.

<sup>10</sup> Adams County, Clarke County, Clay County, Jennings County, Lake County, Marion County, Monroe County, Montgomery County, Steuben County, Vermillion County, and Wayne County, Ind.

## DELINQUENCY CASES

The tables which summarize the information in regard to the 38,882 delinquency cases reported by 62 juvenile courts, show a very close agreement with the tables prepared on the basis of 28,387 cases reported by 42 courts for the calendar year 1927. Although some differences were found in the cases reported by individual courts which sent cards for both years, the combined figures seem little affected by these variations or by the addition of data from more courts. Because of the similarity of the findings in the two years only the significant points of difference will be noted.

Since approximately a third of the cases were reported by courts in New York City and Philadelphia, an analysis was made of the extent to which the policies and procedures in these courts may have affected the combined figures for all courts. On the whole, the figures obtained from these two courts correspond fairly closely with the average of the figures from all other courts except in a few instances which will be discussed in connection with the tables in which they occur.

### DELINQUENCY RATIOS

The ratios of delinquent children to 1,000 children of juvenile-court age in the estimated population have been calculated for courts serving areas having 100,000 or more estimated population which reported their cases to the Children's Bureau during either 1927 or 1928. These ratios are shown in Table 2.

Several factors other than variation in the amount of delinquency affect the ratios in a given locality. In this connection the age jurisdiction of the court is of special importance. Although in a number of courts having jurisdiction over children under 18 years of age the delinquency ratios were low, they would have been materially lower if children 16 and 17 years of age had been excluded; these children constituted about a third of the children reported by such courts (Table 3), whereas children of 16 and 17 years constituted a much smaller proportion of all the children of juvenile-court age in the localities.

Although all the courts for which ratios were calculated were serving populations of 100,000 or more, 5 of these 11 were situated in cities of less than 50,000 population. The proportion of nonurban residents in the population of the area over which the court has jurisdiction materially affects the number of cases brought before the court unless the organization of the court provides for definite services throughout the area of its jurisdiction; even then it is probable that fewer cases in proportion to the population will be found in nonurban areas, though little statistical evidence on this subject is available. In the 9 localities 12 in which one-fourth or more of the population served by the courts were living in rural areas, the delinquency ratios for boys were below 10 except in Kent County, Mich., Montgomery County, Ohio, and the State of Utah.

11 Lake County, Ind.; Orange County, N. Y.; Montgomery County, Pa.; Greenville County, S. C.; Dane County, Wis.
12 Kent County, Mich.; Orange County, N. Y.; Montgomery County, Ohio; Berks County and Montgomery County, Pa.; Greenville County, S. C.; Pierce County, Wash.; Dane County, Wis.; and the State of Utah.

Table 2.—Ratio of delinquent boys and girls to 1,000 estimated population of juvenile-court age of the same sex and color dealt with by certain specified courts reporting for 1927 and 1928 1

Court	Estimated population of juvenile court juris-	Age of original juvenile- court jurisdiction	dre	n to 1,0 oulation	inquen 000 estin n of juv of the	mated renile-
	diction age, July 1, 1928	•	Во	ys	Gi	rls
			1927	1928	1927	1928
California: San Francisco (city)	109, 091	Under 21	14. 5	(2)	1.3	(2)
Bridgeport (city) Hartford (city) District of Columbia	27, 471	Under 16	27. 6	24. 2 38. 1	4.6	5. 5
District of Columbia	24, 857 71, 961	Under 17	41. 5 40. 2	41.7	6. 5 6. 6	4.9 7.1
White			20.8	24. 2	2.5	2.8
ColoredIndiana:			100. 7	96. 6	20.5	21. 7
Lake County Marion County	40, 778 64, 895	Under 16(B), 18(G)	16.3	15.6	7.9	6. 2
Marion County White	64, 895	do	18.3 15.7	15. 2 12. 2	8. 1 5. 6	7. 5 6. 3
Colored			38. 8	38. 7	28.6	17 3
Iowa: Polk County	35, 106 21, 106	Under 18	(2) (2)	30. 1 324. 5	(2)	7.8 3 5.3
Colored Colored Louisiana: Caddo Parish <sup>3</sup> Michigan: Kent County	36, 023	Under 17do	19. 2	(2)	(2) (2) (2)	(2)
Minnesota:						
Hennepin CountyRamsey County	80, 324 46, 007	Under 18do	18. 7 11. 0	20. 5 12. 7	4. 5 2. 9	5. 3 3. 2
New Jersey:						
Hudson County	121, 198 31, 149	Under 16do	20. 3 10. 9	21. 5 14. 7	2.8 1.1	3.7 1.2
Morr Vouls	,				1.1	
Buffalo (city)	88, 852	do	15. 9	17. 0 13. 3	1.1	1.4 1.2
Monroe County	26, 157 60, 678	do	11.8	5. 6	(2)	1. 7
Buffalo (city)	1, 016, 961	do	48.3	11.6	(2) 4 1. 4	1.8
White Colored			8. 0 14. 8	10. 9 31. 0	1.3 5.0	1. 7 6. 1
Orange County Westchester County	18, 082 70, 162	Under 16do	(2) 22. 8	2.5	(2) 3. 8	(2) 3. 2
Westchester County White	70, 162	do	22. 8 22. 0	18. 7 17. 5	3.8	3. 2 2. 7
Colored			48. 2	57.8	19.7	16. 9
Object		TY 1 10	00.4		0.0	0.7
Franklin County White Colored Hamilton County	57,777	Under 18	20. 4	16.8 14.1	6.8 5.7	6.7 6.2
Colored		Under 18	53. 5	40.1	18, 1	12.2
Hamilton County White			24. 9 19. 4	22. 1 18. 8	(2) (2) (2)	(2) (2) (2)
Colored			84. 8	56.8	(2)	(2)
Colored Mahoning County Montgomery County	46, 788	Under 18do	48.6	53. 5 14. 2	111.6	10. 5 8. 4
White	42, 372		(2) (2) (2)	11.7	(2) (2)	6. 5
Colored Mahoning County Montgomery County White Colored			(2)	50.8	(2)	40. 9
		Under 16	(2)	7.6	(2)	1.4
Allegheny County White Colored			(2) (2) (2) 2. 0 2. 1	7. 6 7. 0 17. 5	(2) (2) (2) (2) 0. 5	1.1
Colored	36 536	Under 16	(2)	17. 5 4. 2	(2)	7. 0 1. 0
Montgomery County	36, 536 36, 819	do	2. 1	2.7	0.7	0. 6
Berks County  Montgomery County  Philadelphia (city)  South Carolina: Greenville County	315, 169 23, 197	do	27.5	26.6	4.0	0. 6 4. 2 1. 6 2. 1 0. 3
			(2) (2)	6. 3 5. 3	(2)	2. 1
Colored Tennessee: Memphis (city) White		Under 17	(2) 39. 9	9.4	(2) (2) (2) (3) 8. 4	0.3
Tennessee: Memphis (city)	29, 553	Under 17	27. 7	(2) (2) (2)	8.4	(2)
Colored			56, 3	(2)	8.0	0. 3 (2) (2) (2) (2) 4. 1 4. 2
Utah: (entire State) Third District <sup>6</sup> Virginia: Norfolk (city) White.	131, 514 44, 036	Under 18	(2) $(2)$ $(2)$ $(3)$ $(41, 2)$	29. 6 27. 9	(2) (2) 8. 3	4.1
Virginia: Norfolk (city)	26, 915	do	41. 2	34. 6	8.3	10. 2
White			31. 9 57. 1	26. 0 49. 5	5. 9 12. 0	7.3 14.6
ColoredWashington: Pierce CountyWisconsin: Dane County	29, 623	Under 18 Under 17(B), 18(G)	6. 4	8.1	1. 6 2. 8	2.0
						(2)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Includes courts serving cities or counties having 100,000 or more estimated population except St. Louis County, Minn., which reported for only the southern half of the county and Cuyahoga County, Ohio, which reported unofficial cases for only 9 months of 1928. Color is shown for those courts serving cities or counties of this size having at least 10,000 or 10 per cent colored population in 1920.

6 Includes Salt Lake, Summit, and Tooele Counties.

Not reported.

Not re

The extent to which the court is relied upon to deal with children exhibiting conduct problems is possibly the most significant factor to be considered in analyzing delinquency ratios of juvenile courts. The relation between the juvenile court and the police department varies greatly in different localities. In some places all children arrested by the police are referred to the juvenile court, whereas in others the police themselves deal with many children, especially those committing minor offenses and violating traffic rules. school department may deal with nearly all truancy problems through its own agencies, or it may refer large numbers of attendance cases to the court. If the school system includes such facilities for constructive work with problem children as a child-study department, visiting teachers, and well-trained attendance officers, it is probable that many cases, including other behavior problems as well as truancy, which would otherwise be dealt with by the courts will be cared for by the schools.13 The extent to which agencies doing case work with problem children or their families are available in the community and the place which the court holds in the estimation of social agencies and the public also influence the number of children referred. Another closely related factor is the amount of unofficial work done by the court and the completeness with which this work is reported. The amount of unofficial work done is partly dependent on court policy and procedure and partly on the number of minor cases accepted by the court.

Some indications of the situations in the communities for which delinquency ratios have been calculated are shown in several of the tables of this report. The table showing source of complaint in delinquency cases (Table IV, p. 46) gives some evidence of the relation of the court to other agencies. One indication of the extent to which the court is regarded as a general agency for dealing with conduct problems of children is the proportion of cases involving very young children. (See Table IIa, p. 36.) The extent to which minor cases are referred to the court is another indication. Although it is difficult to determine the seriousness of an offense committed by a child from the charge preferred or from the manner of dealing with it, some indication of the extent to which minor cases are referred may be obtained from the tables showing charges (Tables VIa and VIb, pp. 50 and 52) and the table showing the percentage of cases

handled unofficially (Table I, p. 33).

Ratios have been calculated separately for white and colored children for all courts serving areas in which 10 per cent or more than 10,000 of the population were colored. As is shown in Table 2, the ratios for colored children are consistently higher than for white children, with the exception of those for girls in two southern courts (Memphis, Tenn., and Greenville County, S. C.). The highest ratio for colored boys was in the District of Columbia, and the highest ratio for colored girls was in Montgomery County, Ohio. The lowest ratios for both colored boys and colored girls were in Greenville County S. C.

The extent to which a high delinquency ratio for colored children affects the general delinquency ratio of the court will depend upon

<sup>13</sup> The practice in some jurisdictions of proceeding against the parents in cases of truancy reduces the number of children brought to court on that charge.

the relative number of white and colored children in the community. The greatest variations in 1928 between the ratios for all boys' cases and all girls' cases and those for white boys and girls are found in the District of Columbia and in Norfolk, Va. In both these cities the colored group comprises more than 25 per cent of the total population.

No conclusions as to the community conditions that lie back of the delinquency ratios in different localities can be formulated from this statistical material, but it furnishes the basis on which studies of the actual conditions existing in these communities can be planned more

intelligently.

Slight variations in ratios during the two years are shown for most of the courts. In some instances the small increase or decrease may be the normal variation from year to year in the number of cases coming before the court; in others the difference may be due to more complete reporting or to changes in court policy or personnel which affected the number of cases reported. Delinquency ratios for several successive years will give much valuable information as to trends in juvenile delinquency.

## CHILDREN INVOLVED IN THE CASES 14

Age and sex.

As a number of the children came before the courts more than once, the 38,882 delinquency cases reported for 1928 by the 62 courts represented 34,764 children—29,151 boys and 5,613 girls. The extent to which the age period of original jurisdiction of the court affected the number of children coming before the court is shown in Table 3. The children of 16 and 17 years constituted nearly a third of the total number of children before the courts having jurisdiction over children under 18 years of age, and nearly equaled the number of 14 and 15 year old children who constituted the largest group in

courts having a lower age jurisdiction.

A few children beyond the age of original jurisdiction were reported by the courts. This may be explained by the fact that some courts have jurisdiction beyond the age of original jurisdiction in certain situations; for example, a case in which the offense was committed before the age limit was reached, even though the case did not come to the attention of the court until afterward, and a case in which a child made a ward of the court before reaching the age limit was before the court on a new charge. All but five of the courts reported some cases of children under 10 years of age, most of them boys. In 32 courts reporting 50 or more children these children under 10 constituted more than 5 per cent of all the children appearing before the court for delinquency. (See Table IIa, p. 35.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> In this section information about the child contained in the record of the first case disposed of during the year was used.

Table 3.—Ages of boys and girls dealt with in delinquency cases by 62 courts during 1928, and age limitation of original court jurisdiction 1

		Chil	dren dealt	with in de	linquency	cases						
		Age limitation of original court jurisdiction										
Age and sex of child	Total	Under 1	6 years <sup>2</sup>	Under	17 years	Under 18 years						
		Number	Per cent distribu- tion	Number	Per cent distribu- tion	Number	Per cent distribu- tion					
Total	34, 764	19, 743		2, 192		12, 829						
Boys	29, 151	17, 096		1, 851		10, 204						
Age reported	28, 799	16, 953	100	1, 837	100	10, 009	100					
Under 10 years	2, 108 4, 042 7, 407 11, 506 3, 673 63	1, 308 2, 706 5, 062 7, 699 173 5	8 16 30 45 1 (3)	162 239 432 622 379 3	9 13 24 34 21 (³)	638 1, 097 1, 913 3, 185 3, 121 55	6 11 19 32 31					
Age not reported	352	143		14		195						
Girls	5, 613	2, 647		341		2, 625						
Age reported	5, 560	2, 631	100	338	100	2, 591	100					
Under 10 years	222 382 1, 152 2, 711 1, 079 14	117 212 645 1, 578 73 6	4 8 25 60 3 (3)	25 43 86 117 66 1	7 13 25 35 20 (³)	80 127 421 1,016 940 7	3 5 16 39 36 (3)					
Age not reported	53	16		3		34						

#### Color and nativity.

Absence of information for the communities included as to age distribution according to color, nativity, and nativity of parents makes impossible a comparison of the percentages of white and colored children, native and foreign-born children, and children of foreign or mixed parentage coming before the courts, with the percentages of children of the same ages, and race, nativity, and parentage in the population of the area served by the 62 courts reporting delinquency cases. Although this exact comparison with children of juvenile-court age can not be made, comparisons with the total population in the reporting area are of interest.

In the total population 15 in the jurisdiction area 95 per cent were white and 5 per cent were colored, including both negro and other colored races. Table 4a shows, however, that the proportion of colored children appearing before the courts was 16 per cent, more than three times as large as in the total population. The percentage

of colored girls was slightly higher than the percentage of colored boys. Foreign-born white children constituted a very small proportion of the children before the courts in 1928.16 (Table 4a.) Comparison

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Only 59 of the 62 courts reporting delinquency cases reported girls' delinquency cases.

<sup>2</sup> Includes truancy cases in Westchester County, N. Y. (where jurisdiction to 17 years authorized by the state-wide education law is exercised); also certain cases of girls to 17 years in Hudson and Mercer Counties, N. J. (where the juvenile court may commit girls of this age to the State school for girls).

<sup>3</sup> Less than 1 per cent.

Fourteenth Census of the United States, 1920, vol. 3, Population. Washington, 1922.
 A larger percentage of the children reported in 1927 were classified as foreign born.

can not be made with the 24 per cent foreign-born white population in the jurisdiction area of the reporting courts since adults constitute a much larger percentage of the foreign-born than of the native-born population in the United States.

Table 4a.—Color and nativity of boys and girls dealt with in delinquency cases by 62 courts during 1928 1

	Children dealt with in delinquency cases									
Color and nativity	То	tal	Во	oys	Girls					
	Number	Per cent distribu- tion	Number	Per cent distribu- tion	Number	Per cent distribu- tion				
Total	34, 764		29, 151		5, 613					
Color reported	34, 721	100	29, 111	100	5, 610	100				
White Native Foreign born Nativity not reported	29, 070 25, 350 651 3, 069	84 73 2 9	24, 620 21, 272 534 2, 814	85 73 2 10	4, 450 4, 078 117 255	79 73 2 5				
Colored <sup>2</sup>	5, 651 43	16	4, 491 40	15	1, 160	21				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Only 59 of the 62 courts reporting delinquency cases reported girls' delinquency cases.
<sup>2</sup> Includes 16 boys and 2 girls colored other than negro.

The largest proportion of the delinquent children dealt with by the courts were native-born white boys and girls. Information as to the nativity of their parents was obtained for most of these children. Table 4b shows the nativity of parents reported for the native-born white boys and girls. An interesting difference is shown between the boys and the girls. Less than half of the girls (45 per cent) had parents one or both of whom were foreign born, and the percentage is slightly lower than that of the native-born females of foreign or mixed parentage in the white population of the reporting area (48 per cent). More of the boys (56 per cent) had parents at least one of whom was foreign born, and the percentage was much higher than that of the native-born males of foreign or mixed parentage in the white population of the reporting area (47 per cent). Accordingly, it may be said that the delinquency rate among native-born boys of foreign or mixed parentage was high.

Table 4B.—Nativity of parents of native white boys and girls dealt with in delinquency cases by 62 courts during 19282

Nativity of parents	Children dealt with in delinquency cases								
	To	tal	Во	ys	Girls				
	Number	Per cent distribu- tion	Number	Per cent distribu- tion	Number	Per cent distribu- tion			
Total	24, 135	100	20, 160	100	3, 975	100			
Native parentageForeign or mixed parentage	11, 118 13, 017	46 54	8, 934 11, 226	44 56	2, 184 1, 791	55 45			

Excludes those for whom nativity of parents was not reported.
 Only 59 of the 62 courts reporting delinquency cases reported girls' delinquency cases.

#### Where living when referred to court.

A rather striking difference is shown in Table 5 between the proportions of boys (71 per cent) and of girls (52 per cent) who were living with both their own parents at the time they committed the offenses for which they were brought to court in the first delinquency case disposed of during the year. This would seem to indicate that the lack of normal family life is a more significant factor in the delinquency of girls than of boys. The difficulties which bring girls into court are usually more serious in character and more closely related to home conditions than the difficulties of boys.

Table 5.—Whereabouts when referred to court in first case disposed of during the year for boys and girls dealt with in delinquency cases by 62 courts during  $1928^{-1}$ 

	Children dealt with in delinquency cases									
Whereabouts of child	То	tal	Во	ys	Girls					
	Number	Per cent distribu- tion	Number	Per cent distribu- tion	Number	Per cent distribu- tion				
Total	34, 764		29, 151		5, 613					
Whereabouts reported	31, 264	100	26, 206	100	5, 058	100				
With both own parents With mother and stepfather. With father and stepmother. With mother only. With father only. Adoptive home. Other family home. Institution. Other.	1, 598 144 1, 624	68 5 2 12 5 (²) 5 1	18, 653 1, 201 607 3, 039 1, 246 96 1, 110 165 89	71 5 2 12 5 (²) 4 1 (²)	2, 610 364 173 851 352 48 514 62 84	52 7 3 17 7 1 10 1				
Whereabouts not reported	3, 500		2, 945		555					

<sup>1</sup> Only 59 of the 62 courts reporting delinquency cases reported girls' delinquency cases.

#### 2 Less than 1 per cent.

## Previous court experience.

Only information regarding the number of times children were dealt with as delinquents in previous years, that is, prior to the first case disposed of during 1928, has been tabulated. Table 6 shows that 78 per cent of the boys and 87 per cent of the girls were before the court for the first time in 1928. Apparently the greater part of the work of the courts is with children dealt with for the first or the second time rather than with repeated offenders. The extent to which these children were before the courts more than once during 1928 is indicated by a comparison of the total number of delinquency cases disposed of in 1928 (38,882) with the number of children involved (34,764).

The courts were asked to report as a separate case each time a child was dealt with on a new offense. It is impossible to formulate a definition which does not permit some difference in the interpretation of "new offense." Some probation offices in dealing with a child who is under the care of the court and commits a new offense do not consider it a new case unless the new offense is so serious that the probation officer can not deal with it and refers the child to the judge.

Others consider as a new case the reference of a child to the judge for general lack of progress or adjustment of conditions that may have arisen, when no new offense may have been committed.

Table 6.—Number of times boys and girls dealt with in delinquency cases by 62 courts during 1928 had been dealt with in delinquency cases prior to 1928 1

	Children dealt with in delinquency cases									
Number of times dealt with in delin- quency cases prior to 1928	То	tal	Во	ys	Girls					
4000, 1000 p. 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Number	Per cent distribu- tion	Number	Per cent distribu- tion	Number	Per cent distribu- tion				
Total	34, 764		29, 151		5, 613					
Reported whether dealt with	34, 609	100	29, 029	100	5, 580	100				
Number of times:  None	27, 524 3, 871 1, 468 655 320 357 414	80 11 4 2 1 1	22, 694 3, 388 1, 339 610 306 354 338	78 12 5 2 1 1	4,830 483 129 45 14 3 76	87 9 2 1 (2) (2)				
Not reported whether dealt with	155		122		33					

<sup>1</sup> Only 59 of the 62 courts reporting delinquency cases reported girls' delinquency cases.

2 Less than 1 per cent.

#### SOURCES OF COMPLAINT

The sources of complaint and especially the extent to which such sources as parents and relatives, other individuals, and social agencies refer cases to the courts are some indication of whether the court is regarded as the agency to deal with all conduct problems or only those more serious ones where authority which only the court has is needed. The percentage of cases referred from specified sources as shown in Table 7 is definitely affected by the inclusion of New York and Philadelphia. (See Table IV, p. 46.) The percentage of cases in which the source of complaint was the police was much higher in these two cities than the average for all other courts, and the percentage of complaints made by the school department was much lower.

Although some cases of delinquency come directly to the attention of probation officers, the number reported in 1928 is larger than would be expected. It is possible also that courts may have reported in some cases the person signing the petition rather than the person making the original complaint, thus reporting "probation officer" as the source in cases actually referred by others. The fact that in some courts serving rural districts probation officers are sometimes also law-enforcing officers in their communities may account for this in part.

Table 7.—Source of complaint in delinquency cases dealt with by 62 courts during 1928

	Delinque	Delinquency cases			
Source of complaint	Number	Per cent distribu- tion			
Total	38, 882				
Source of complaint reported	38, 798	100			
Police	21, 829	56			
Parents or relatives	3, 639	9			
Other individual	5, 606	14			
School department	4, 186	11			
Probation officer Social agency	2, 194 833	6			
Other	511	1			
Source of complaint not reported	84				

#### PLACES OF CARE PENDING HEARING OR DISPOSITION

The facilities used by the 62 courts for the detention of delinquent children varied greatly in different localities. Less than half the 62 courts reported the use of detention homes, and most of these were situated in cities or counties of 100,000 or more population. A number of the courts serving less populous districts which reported a few dependent or delinquent children held in a detention home may have used this name for some institution used primarily for another purpose. Courts that had no detention-home facilities provided for the detention of children in a variety of ways. The institutional resources of private agencies were used by a number of courts, notably by the New York City court. Boarding-home care had not been developed to any extent by any of the courts, although a few children were detained in boarding homes in a number of localities. Many of the courts using boarding homes also had detention-home facilities. A few courts stated that a "detention room" for children was located in the courthouse or in the jail. Detention in the same building as the jail was classified as detention in jail.

Table 8 shows that more than half the children were not detained, but were left in their own homes or their cases were disposed of on the day the complaint was made. For the children who were detained a marked difference is shown in the type of detention used for those under 16 years of age and for older children. A smaller percentage of the older children than of the younger children were detained in detention homes and other institutions, and a larger percentage were detained in jails. It is probable that a number of the children detained in jail were held for short periods, possibly not overnight, although the instruction to courts using the cards was that a child held for a few hours only should not be considered detained. Nevertheless, the detention of 1,305 children, 548 of whom were under 16 years of age, in jails and police stations shows the widespread use of these places for holding young children and the urgent need for more adequate provision for meeting this problem. The percentage of children detained in institutions other than detention homes reflects

the use of this method of detention by the New York City court, since more than nine-tenths of the children detained in "other institutions" were in New York City. (See Table V, p. 48.)

Table 8 .- Place of care pending hearing or disposition, and ages of children represented in boys' and girls' delinquency cases dealt with by 62 courts during 1928 1

	Delinquency cases											
	То	tal					Age of	f child				
Place of care		Per	Und ye	er 14 ars	14 y und	ears, er 16	16 ye unde	ears, er 18	18 y and	ears over		t re-
	Num- ber	cent distri- bu- tion	Num- ber	Per cent dis- tribu- tion	Num- ber	Per cent dis- tribu- tion	Num- ber	Per cent dis- tribu- tion	Num- ber	Per cent dis- tribu- tion	Num- ber	Per cent dis- tribu- tion
Boys' cases	32, 822		15, 221		12, 965		4, 132		74		430	
Place of care reported	32, 540	100	15, 090	100	12, 847	100	4, 105	100	73	100	425	100
Own home or case disposed of same day Boarding home Detention home or	19, 250 68	59 (²)	9, 407	62 (2)	7, 122 26	55 (2)	2, 379 10	58 (²)	47	64	295	69
other institution 3 Detention home 3 Other institution Jail or police station	11, 709 8, 813 2, 896 1, 195	36 27 9 4	3, 978 1, 424 119	36 26 9	3, 719 1, 452 373	11	991 9 686	24 24 (²)	13 13	18 15	123 112 11 6 5	29 26 3 1
Only place of care One of the places of care	1, 014 181	3	98 21	(2)	303 70		597 89	15 2	11	15	5 1	(2)
More than one place of care 4Other place of care	255 63	(2)	105 25	(2)	133 22		16 14	(2) (2)	<u>-</u>	3	1	(2)
Place of care not reported	282	~ <b></b>	131		118		27		1		5	
Girls' cases	6, 060		1, 897		2, 938		1, 149		15		61	
Place of care reported	5, 992	100	1, 876	100	2, 912	100	1, 130	100	14	(5)	60	100
Own home or case disposed of same day Boarding home Detention home or	3, 024 41	50 1	1, 124 11	60	1, 316 19	45	546 10	48	5		33	55 2
other institution 3 Detention home 3 _ Other institution Jail or police station Only place of care	2, 672 1, 873 799 110 93	45 31 13 2 2	697 447 250 13	37 • 24 13 1	1, 454 938 516 43 38	50 32 18 1	489 463 26 52 43	43 41 2 5 4	8 7 1 1 1		24 18 6 1	40 30 10 2
One of the places of care More than one place of	17	(2)	. 2	(2)	5	(2)	9	1			1	2
Care 4Other place of care	59 86	1 1	18 13	1 1	37 43	1 1	$\frac{4}{29}$	(2) 3			<u>1</u>	2
Place of care not reported	68		21		26		19		1		1	

Only 59 of the 62 courts reporting delinquency cases reported girls' delinquency cases.

## CHARGES

Although an attempt is being made to secure uniformity in the use of terms, the charges on which children were dealt with as delinquents by the courts give a very incomplete picture of their behavior prob-lems. A child may have committed several offenses at or about the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Only 50 of the 52 courts reporting definiquency cases reported gins definitions.

<sup>2</sup> Less than I per cent.

<sup>3</sup> Includes cases of children cared for part of the time in detention homes and part of the time elsewhere, but excludes cases of children also held in jails or police stations.

<sup>4</sup> Excludes cases of children held in jails, police stations, or detention homes.

<sup>8</sup> Not shown, as number of cases is less than 50.

same time but be referred to the court on only one of them. The specific offense with which he is charged may be much less serious than offenses discovered in the course of the social investigation. When the case is investigated before the filing of a petition instead of afterward, the formal charge is usually more accurate, but even in such cases the offense stated in the complaint may reflect the desire of the court to protect the child. For instance, in some courts a girl is charged with incorrigibility instead of a sex offense, and Table VIa seems to show that a boy is sometimes charged with mischief instead of stealing. These differences in the attitudes and practices of the courts are shown very clearly by the character of the charges in the cases reported by each court. (See Tables VIa, VIb, pp. 50, 52.)

It is generally accepted that the offenses with which boys and girls are charged represent different delinquency problems. Table 9a shows that "stealing or attempted stealing" and "acts of carelessness or mischief" were the most usual charges in boys' cases, whereas the closely related charges of "running away," "ungovernable or beyond parental control," and "sex offense" appeared more often in

girls' cases.

Table 9a.—Charges in boys' and girls' delinquency cases dealt with by 62 courts during 1928 1

	Delinquency cases									
Charge	То	tal	Во	oys	Girls					
	Number	Per cent distri- bution	Number	Per cent distri- bution	Number	Per cent distri- bution				
Total	38, 882		32, 822		6,060					
Charge reported	38, 688	100	32, 667	100	6,021	100				
Stealing or attempted stealing Automobile stealing Burglary or unlawful entry Robbery Other type of stealing Type of stealing not reported	1,857 4,282	38 5 11 2 13 7	14, 064 1, 831 4, 239 698 4, 729 2, 567	43 6 13 2 14 8	727 26 43 41 405 212	(2) 12 (2) 1 1 7 4				
Truancy	2, 913 3, 987 1, 722 1, 074 9, 625	9 8 10 4 3 25 1	2, 880 2, 005 2, 274 564 922 9, 146 340 472	9 6 7 2 3 28 1 1	752 908 1,713 1,158 152 479 65 67	12 15 28 19 3 8 1				
Charge not reported	194		155		.39					

<sup>1</sup> Only 59 of the 62 courts reporting delinquency cases reported girls' delinquency cases.

Running away was a larger problem in New York City and in Philadelphia than in most of the other localities, and the inclusion of such cases from these courts materially increased the percentage of children charged with this offense. On the contrary, the number of children referred to these two courts on truancy charges was very small and correspondingly lowered the percentage of children charged with truancy.

The interests and pursuits of children of different ages are reflected in the types of offenses which they commit. Table 9b shows that the offenses committed by girls under 12 years of age corresponded more closely to those committed by boys of those ages than did the offenses of older girls. The percentage charged with "acts of carelessness and mischief" decreased steadily as the age of the children increased, while sex offenses and the violation of the liquor or drug law or intoxication, constituted an increasing percentage of the offenses charged in both boys' and girls' cases, from the lower to the higher age groups. A most interesting difference is shown in the ages of boys and girls charged with being ungovernable. The largest percentages of boys charged with this offense were in the age groups under 10 and 10, under 12 years, whereas among the girls the age group under 10 showed a smaller percentage than any other. Truancy among the boys and running away among the girls occurred more often among the children of 14, under 16 years, than among the children of any other age group. Stealing, the most common charge, appeared approximately in the same proportions of boys' cases in all age groups, although the type of stealing changed as the boys grew older.

Table 98.—Per cent distribution of charges reported in boys' and girls' delinquency cases dealt with by 62 courts during 1928, by age of child <sup>1</sup>

	Delinquency cases								
Charge				A	ge of ch	ild			
Clarge	Total	Under 10 years	10 years, under 12	12 years, under 14	14 years, under 16	16 years, under 18	18 years and over	Not re- ported	
Boys' cases	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	
Stealing or attempted stealing Automobile stealing Burglary or unlawful entry Robbery Other type of stealing Type of stealing Type of stealing not reported Truancy Running away Ungovernable or beyond parental control Sex offense Injury or attempted injury to person Act of carelessness or mischief Violating liquor or drug law, or intoxication. Other charge	43 6 13 2 14 8 9 6 7 2 3 2 3 1 1	39 1 14 15 8 6 5 8 2 3 3 35 (2)	45 1 15 2 18 8 7 7 8 1 3 29 (2)	46 3 15 2 17 9 8 6 7 1 3 28 (2)	43 8 12 2 13 8 11 6 7 2 3 3 26 1	39 12 9 2 11 5 9 5 6 3 3 28 4 2	49 15 16 1 8 8 7 7 1 3 5 4 18 14	26 2 7 1 9 7 . 6 15 3 2 2 42 2	
Girls' cases  Stealing or attempted stealing Automobile stealing Burglary or unlawful entry Robbery Other type of stealing Type of stealing not reported. Truancy Running away. Ungovernable or beyond parental control. Sex offense Injury or attempted injury to person. Act of carelessness or mischief. Violating liquor or drug law, or intoxication. Other charge.	1 7 4 12 15 28 19 3 8	100 15 1 1 1 8 8 3 9 6 14 8 7 40	23 (2) 2 1 12 7 14 10 24 7 4 18 (2) (2)	100 17 (2) 1 1 10 4 10 13 32 16 3 8 1	100 (2) (2) (2) (2) (6 3 314 19 30 19 2 5 1	100 8 1 1 1 4 2 13 11 27 30 3 5 2 2	(3)	1100 111 	

<sup>1</sup> Only 59 of the 62 courts reporting delinquency cases reported girls' delinquency cases.

Less than 1 per cent.
 Not shown, as number of cases is less than 50.

#### DISPOSITIONS

Official cases.

Table 10a shows the extent to which different types of dispositions were used in official cases by the courts reporting delinquency cases. Placing the child on probation was the disposition most often used. The number of children whose cases were dismissed or continued indefinitely 17 was also large, as was the number committed to institutions. Only about one-eighth of the cases were disposed of in other ways than by one of these three methods. Although about the same percentage of boys and of girls were placed on probation, the percentage of cases dismissed or continued indefinitely was larger for boys than for girls, and the percentage of commitments to institutions was higher for girls. Other slight differences in the methods of dealing with boys' and girls' cases are shown in Table 10a.

Individual court's showed wide variation in the extent to which different types of dispositions were used. (See Tables VIIa, VIIb, pp. 55, 57.) Such variations are due in many instances to differences in court procedure and practice. For instance, the number of official cases dismissed or continued indefinitely is small if cases are investigated before the filing of a petition and trivial cases are dealt with unofficially or dropped. The proportion of cases in which the child is placed on probation is influenced by several factors, among them the number of cases dismissed or continued indefinitely upon first hearing, the extent to which unofficial probation is used, the local institutions available for short-time commitments, and the care with which children are selected for probation both as to those likely to profit by it and as to the court's facilities for giving adequate supervision.

The percentage of children's cases dismissed or continued indefinitely and the percentage of cases in which the children were committed to institutions were slightly affected by the cases reported from the New York and Philadelphia courts. The dispositions made by these courts as compared with those of all the other courts included a larger percentage of cases dismissed or continued indefinitely and a smaller percentage of children committed to institutions. The dispositions of the cases reported in 1927 compared fairly closely with those shown in Table 10a for 1928. In 1927 a slightly larger percentage of the cases were dismissed or continued indefinitely, with a corresponding decrease in those in which the children were placed on probation.

probation.

<sup>17</sup> The classification "case dismissed" was used for cases closed without further action, cases referred to other courts for commitment to institutions for the feeble-minded, and cases dismissed because of lack of jurisdiction in the juvenile court. Cases were considered as "continued indefinitely" when no further action was taken or supervision given the children, but when jurisdiction was maintained so that if a like situation arose later the case might be brought into court again without the filing of a new petition. Cases of children placed on probation to parents or committed to institutions with commitment suspended when no further action was contemplated were also classed as "continued indefinitely."

Table 10a.—Disposition in boys' and girls' official delinquency cases dealt with by 61 courts during 1928 1

•	Official delinquency cases							
Disposition	То	tal	Во	ys	Girls			
	Number	Per cent distri- bution	Number	Per cent distri- bution	Number	Percent distri- bution		
Total	27, 885		23, 399		4, 486			
Disposition reported	27, 863	100	23, 379	100	4, 484	100		
Dismissed or continued indefinitely Child placed on probation Child committed to institution	8, 039 11, 914 4, 419	29 43 16	7, 046 10, 054 3, 241	30 43 14	993 1, 860 1, 178	22 41 26		
State institution for delinquent chil- dren. Other institution for delinquent chil-	1, 792	6	1, 334	6	458	10		
dren	2, 136	8	1, 536	7	600	13		
Type of institution for delinquent chil- dren not reported Other institution	292 199	1 1	235 136	1 1	57 63	1 1		
Restitution, fine, or costs Fine imposed or payment of costs or-	1, 776	6	1,715	7	61	1		
deredRestitution or reparation ordered	1, 383 393	5 1	1, 334 381	6 2	49 12	(2)		
Other disposition	1, 715	6	1, 323	6	392	9		
dividual other than probation officer Child committed to board, department,	419	2	335	1	84	2		
or agency Child returned home 3	963 135	(2) 3	743 84	(2)	220 51	5 1		
Child referred for criminal prosecution Child otherwise cared for	55 143	(2) (2) 1	52 109	(2) (2) (2)	3 34	(2)		
Disposition not reported	22		20	ļ	2			

<sup>1</sup> Only 61 of the 62 courts reporting delinquency cases reported official delinquency cases. Of these 61 courts only 57 reported girls' official delinquency cases.

2 Less than 1 per cent.

Both the age of a child and the character of his offense affect the disposition of his case. Table 10b shows the dispositions of the cases by the age of the child, and Table 10c shows the relation between the offenses charged and the disposition of the cases.

Except for the larger percentage of boys under 10 ye

whose cases were dismissed or continued indefinitely and the increasing percentage who were committed to institution higher age period, no significant variations occur in the dispositions made of cases of boys under 16 years of age. A comparison of the dispositions in the cases of boys 16 and over and of each age group under 16 shows that a smaller percentage in the older than in the younger groups were dismissed or continued indefinitely or were placed on probation. In a larger percentage of the cases of older boys commitments to institutions were made or fines were imposed or costs ordered. The percentage of cases of boys 16 or over referred for criminal prosecution was small, and these constitute the majority of the cases dealt with in this way.

Possibly because of the differences in the kinds of offenses with which girls under 12 were charged as compared with older girls, a much larger percentage of the cases of girls in the age groups under 10 and 10, under 12 years of age were dismissed or continued indefinitely.

<sup>3</sup> Applies only to runaways or children living away from own home at time referred to court.

As in boys' cases, commitment to institutions constituted an increasing percentage of the dispositions from the lower to the higher age periods.

Table 10b.—Per cent distribution of disposition reported for each age group of boys and girls referred in official delinquency cases dealt with by 61 courts during

			Offic	ial delir	quency	cases		
Disposition				A	ge of ch	ild		
Disposition	Total	Un- der 10 years	10 years, under 12	12 years, under 14	14 years, under 16	16 years, under 18	18 years and over	Age not re- ported
Boys' cases	100	100	100	100	100	100	(2)	10
Dismissed or continued indefinitely	30 43 14 6 7	39 42 7 2 4	31 46 12 3 7	30 45 13 4 7	30 43 15 6 7	23 36 19 13 4		4 2
not reportedOther institution	1 1	(3) 2	1	(3)	(3)	1		
Restitution, fine, or costs Fine imposed or payment of costs ordered_ Restitution or reparation ordered	7 6 2	6 3 3	6 5 2	7 5 2	7 5 1	12 11 2		1
Other disposition Child placed under supervision of individ-	6	5	5	5	5	10		
ual other than probation officer Child committed to board, department, or agency. Child returned home <sup>4</sup> Child reterred for criminal prosecution. Child otherwise cared for	3 (3) (3) (3) (3)	1 4 (3) (3)	3 (3) (3) (3) (3)	3 (3) (3)	3 (3) (3) (3) (3)	3 2 2 2 2		
Girls' cases	100	100	100	100	100	100	(2)	(2)
Dismissed or continued indefinitely Child placed on probation Child committed to institution State institution for delinquent children Other institution for delinquent children	41	55 29 9 2 6	33 40 17 5 9	20 44 24 9 13	21 44 27 10 14	18 35 32 15 15		
Type of institution for delinquent children not reportedOther institution	1	1	1 1	1 1	1 1	$\frac{1}{2}$		
Restitution, fine, or costs	1 (3)	1	1	1 1 1	1 (3)	1 1		
Other dispositionChild placed under supervision of individ-	9	6 2	9	11 3	7	13		
ual other than probation officer	5 1 (3)	3 2	5 1 (3)	7 1 (3)	(3)	6 2 (3) 2		

<sup>1</sup> Only 61 of the 62 courts reporting delinquency cases reported official delinquency cases; of these
51 courts only 57 courts reported girls' official delinquency cases.
2 Not shown as number of cases is less than 50.
3 Less than 1 per cent.
4 Applies only to runaways or children living away from home at time referred to court.

Table 10c.—Per cent distribution of disposition reported for each type of charge on which boys and girls were referred in official delinquency cases dealt with by 61 courts during 1928 1

		Charge not re- ported	100	35 4 4 LE	7		€	
		Other charge	100	21. 21. 8 8 (3) 3	52.52	11 8 (3) (2) 3	3	
		Violating liquor or drug law or intoxication	100	8388 9470 H	22.2		€	
	to court	Act of careless- ness or mischief	100	55 21 2 1 1 (3)	20 17 2	(2)	100	₩ 22 × 4.4 II
Official delinquency cases	Charge on which referred to court	Injury or at- tempted injury to person	100	45 34 10 10 5 4 4 4	1-40	4 4 7 (2) 1 (2) 1 1	100	2520211
delinque	on which	Sex offense	100	22 53 16 77 77	4 (2)	(2) 1 (2) 1	100	20 5 8 8 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Official	Charge	Ungov- ernable or beyond parental	100	23 22 9 9 11 2		(3) (2) 22 4	100	26 48 48 48 49 41 12
		Run- ning away	100	28 19 10 10 10 1	<u>e</u> e	11 32 2	100	844 88 88 10 10 10
		Tru- ancy	100	27 40 15 10 10 1	44	14 13 (2) (2) (2) (3)	100	11 5 8 9 9 1 1
		Steal- ing or at- tempted stealing	100	20 574 177 88	400	\$ 50 £2	100	20 56 15 14 4 (2)
		Total	100	30 14 14 17 1	1984	(2)(3) 3 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	100	22 14 10 13 13 11
		Disposition	Boys' cases.	Dismissed or continued indefinitely.  Child placed on probation.  Child committed to institution.  State institutions for delinquent children.  Other institution for delinquent children.  Type of institution.	Restitution, fine, or costs. Fine imposed or payment of costs ordered. Restitution or reparation ordered.	Other disposition  Child placed under supervision of individual other than probation officer  Child committed to board, department, or agency.  Child returned home <sup>3</sup> Child referred for criminal prosecution.  Child referred for criminal prosecution.	Girls' cases.	Dismissed or continued indefinitely Child placed on probation Child committeed to institution State institution for delinquent children Other institution for delinquent children Type of institution for delinquent children to reported Other institution

1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1
7 0 1	4-1-2
7	1 1357
(2)	(2) 3 3 4 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5
<u> </u>	(3)
eo eo	12 2 6 4
ကက	11 11 11
5-3	1 1350
3	(2)
Restitution, fine, or costs. Fine imposed or payment of costs ordered Restitution or reparation ordered.	Other disposition.  Child placed under supervision of individual other than probation officer  Child recommitted to board, department, or agency.  Child returned home <sup>8</sup> Child returned home <sup>9</sup> Child returned for criminal prosecution.  Child reterved for criminal prosecution.

1 Only 61 of the 62 courts reporting delinquency cases reported official delinquency cases. Of these 61 courts only 57 courts reported girls' official delinquency cases.

1 Applies only for numways or children living away from home at time referred to court.

Not shown as number of cases is less than 50.

Table 10c shows that with a few striking exceptions the treatment for different types of offenses was quite similar in boys and girls' cases. Dismissal or indefinite continuance was the type of disposition most often used when the charge was injury or attempted injury to person, act of carelessness or mischief, and in a group of miscellaneous charges classed as "other." Probation was the most usual disposition for both boys and girls charged with stealing running away, and being ungovernable or beyond parental control. In cases of children committing sex offenses the contrast between the methods of dealing with boys and girls is marked, probation being used most often for boys and commitment to an institution for girls. In truancy cases the most usual disposition for girls was dismissal or indefinite continuance, and for boys placement on probation.

#### Unofficial cases.

Thirty-nine of the 62 courts reporting delinquency cases disposed of cases unofficially, one court having dealt with all its cases in this Table 11 shows that a large percentage of these cases were dealt with either by adjusting the difficulty or apparently by dropping the case without action of any sort. A small percentage of the children were placed on unofficial probation, and a still smaller group were referred to institutions and agencies. Runaways returned home also constituted a small percentage of the cases.

Table 11.—Disposition in boys' and girls' unofficial delinquency cases dealt with by 39 courts during 1928 1

	Unofficial delinquency cases							
Disposition	То	tal	В	oys	Girls			
٠.	Number	Per cent distri- bution	Number	Per cent distri- bution	Number	Per cent distri- bution		
Total	10, 997		9, 423		1, 574			
Disposition reported	10, 919	100	9, 360	100	1 559	100		
Difficulty adjusted Child placed on unofficial probation	5, 677 1, 176	52 11	4, 960 1, 002	53 11	717 174	41		
Child returned home <sup>2</sup>	522	5	385	4	137	(		
mended Placement of child elsewhere recommended_	299 59	3	253 50	3	46			
Referred to agency or other courtOther disposition 3	239	2 27	165 2,545	$\frac{2}{27}$	74 402	2		
Disposition not reported	78		63		15			

Only 39 of the 62 courts reporting delinquency cases reported unofficial delinquency cases; 37 of the 39 reported boys' cases and 34 reported girls' cases.
 Applies only to runaways or children living away from own home at time referred to court.
 The majority of these cases were dismissed, dropped, or closed with a warning.

#### DEPENDENCY AND NEGLECT CASES

Dependency and neglect cases constituted a smaller part of the work of the courts than delinquency cases except in 11 18 of the smaller courts. Ten courts dealing with delinquent children did not report dependency and neglect cases. The practice in some courts of filing the complaint against the adult responsible for dependency or neglect instead of instituting proceedings in the name of the child is one of the factors influencing this situation. In some localities only those cases of dependency and neglect requiring commitment or other legal adjudication of custody or of parental obligation were brought as a rule to the attention of the court, whereas in other communities the court was the principal or only local agency caring for such children. As 45 per cent of the dependency and neglect cases were reported by the New York and Philadelphia courts, the methods used by these courts in dealing with such cases definitely affect the total figures.

#### CHILDREN INVOLVED IN THE CASES

The 16,289 dependency and neglect cases represented 15,825 children in 8,153 families. Tables 12, 13a, 13b, and 14 show the age, sex, race, nativity, parentage, and whereabouts of children dealt with in dependency and neglect cases. Nearly as many girls as boys were dealt with in these cases. The numbers of children of all age groups under 16 years coming before the courts showed little variation. The number who were 14 or over was slightly smaller than the number in the lower age groups, although all the courts had jurisdiction over dependency and neglect cases involving children under 16 years of age and some had jurisdiction over children to 18 years of age.

A comparison of Tables 13a and b with Tables 4a and b shows some interesting contrasts in the color, nativity, and parentage of children dealt with in dependency or neglect cases and in delinquency As to color a slightly larger proportion of the dependent or neglected children than of the delinquent children were white, although the percentage of colored children referred to the court for these causes as well as for delinquency was about three times as high as the percentage of colored persons (5 per cent) in the total population served by the courts. The percentage of foreign-born children was even smaller in dependency and neglect cases than in delinquency A significant difference shown in Tables 13b and 4b is that the proportion of the native-born children of native parentage referred to the courts because of dependency or neglect was much larger than the proportion of the same ancestry who were before the court because of delinquency.

Nearly three-fourths of the children dealt with by the courts in dependency or neglect cases came from families in which the home had been broken by death, divorce, desertion, or other cause. (Table 14.)

 <sup>18</sup> Another court reported only dependency cases.
 19 Cases of mothers' allowances, which frequently are administered by courts, are not included in the tabulations.

Table 12.—Ages of children dealt with in dependency and neglect cases by 53 courts during 1928

. Age of child		Children dealt with in depend- ency and neglect cases		
		Per cent distri- bution		
Total	15, 825			
Age reported	15, 540	100		
Under 2 years	1, 906	12		
2 years, under 4.		13 13		
6 years, under 8		15		
8 years, under 10	2,075	13		
10 years, under 12		12		
12 years, under 14		11		
14 years, under 16.	1,375	9		
16 years and over	225	1		
Age not reported	285			

Table 13a.—Color and nativity of boys and girls dealt with in dependency and neglect cases by 53 courts during 1928 <sup>1</sup>

	Children dealt with in dependency and neglect cases								
Color and nativity	Total		Во	oys .	Girls				
	Number	Per cent distribu- tion	Number	Per cent distribu- tion	Number	Per cent distribu- tion			
Total	15, 825		8, 129		7, 696				
Color reported	15, 819	100	8, 125	100	7, 694	100			
White Native Foreign born Nativity not reported	13, 605 12, 982 157 466	86 82 1 3	7, 018 6, 698 72 248	86 82 1 3	6, 587 6, 284 85 218	86 82 1 3			
Colored 2	2, 214	14	1, 107	14	1, 107	14			
Color not reported	6		4		2				

Only 51 of the 53 courts reporting dependency and neglect cases reported both boys' and girls' cases;
 court reported only boys' cases, and 1 court reported only girls' cases.
 Includes 1 boy and 2 girls colored other than negro.

Table 13b.—Nativity of parents of native white boys and girls <sup>1</sup> dealt with in dependency and neglect cases by 53 courts during 1928 <sup>2</sup>

	Children dealt with in dependency and neglect cases								
Nativity of parents	Total		Во	ys	Girls				
	Number	Per cent distribu- tion	Number	Per cent distribu- tion	Number	Per cent distribu- tion			
Total	12, 775	100	6, 590	100	6, 185	100			
Native parentage Foreign and mixed parentage	7, 852 4, 923	61 39	4, 029 2, 561	61 39	3, 823 2, 362	62 38			

 <sup>1</sup> Exclusive of those for whom nativity of parents was not reported.
 2 Only 51 of the 53 courts reporting dependency and neglect cases reported both boys' and girls' cases;
 1 court reported only boys' cases, and 1 court reported only girls' cases.

Table 14.—Whereabouts when referred to court in first case disposed of during the year for children dealt with in dependency and neglect cases by 53 courts during 1928

Whereabouts of child		Children deal with in depend ency and neglect cases		
		Per cent distri- bution		
Total	15, 825			
hereabouts reported	13, 309	10		
With both own parents. With mother and stepfather. With father and stepmother.	3, 866 282 274	2		
With mother only With father only Adoptive home	4, 107	3		
Other family home Institution Other	2, 299 450 150	1		
hereabouts not reported	1,916			

#### SOURCES OF COMPLAINT AND CHARGES

Since several children in a family may be referred to court at the same time on the same charge and from the same source, the family rather than the child has been used as the base of comparison in Tables 15 and 16. Each family was counted only once for each time it was dealt with by the court on a new charge involving one or more of the children.

It is to be expected that social agencies would be one of the most important sources of reference in dependency and neglect cases. In some localities the court prefers to have such cases investigated first by a social agency so that only those actually needing court action are brought to court. In other localities the court undertakes the initial work and receives complaints from any interested persons, including parents and relatives. Table 15 shows that in the areas reporting, complaints were filed in about equal proportions by social agencies and by parents and relatives, these two groups being the

source of complaint in three-fourths of the cases.

In more than a third of the cases the charge specified some form of neglect on the part of parents or guardians (abandonment or desertion, abuse or cruel treatment, improper conditions in the home). A still larger proportion of the families were referred for dependency primarily. The courts were asked to interpret the term "insufficient parental care," as well as "financial need," as inability rather than neglect to provide for children. Less than one-tenth of the families were referred to the court for consideration of problems related to the custody of children and a slightly smaller proportion were referred for "other" reasons.

Table 15.—Source of complaint on which families were referred to court in dependency and neglect cases dealt with by 53 courts during 1928

	Families referred in dependency and neglect cases		
Source of complaint	Number	Per cent distri- bution	
Total	8, 153		
Source of complaint reported	8, 122	100	
Social agency	3, 079 2, 975 508	38 37 6	
Police. Probation officer School department Other	587 251 120	7 7 3 1	
Source of complaint not reported.	31		

Table 16.—Charges on which families were referred in dependency and neglect cases dealt with by 53 courts during 1928

		Families referred in dependency and neglect cases		
Charge	Number	Per cent distri- bution		
Total	8, 153			
Charge reported	7, 161	100		
Abandonment or desertion. Abuse or cruel treatment.	851 248	12		
Improper conditions in home	1, 599 2, 573 859	22 36 12		
Question of custody Other	563 468	8 7		
Charge not reported	992			

#### PLACES OF CARE PENDING HEARING OR DISPOSITION

The detention of dependent and neglected children presents problems different from those involved in the detention of delinquent children. All the courts reporting the use of detention homes used also boarding homes or institutions other than detention homes. Although a number of courts used "other institutions" for the detention of children, four-fifths of the cases of children so detained were in New York City and Philadelphia. (See Table X, p. 64.) As is shown by a comparison of Table 8 and Table 17, the percentage of children who were left in their own homes or whose cases were disposed of on the same day was only slightly larger in dependency and neglect cases than in delinquency cases.

Table 17.—Place of care pending hearing or disposition of dependency and neglect cases dealt with by 53 courts during 1928

	Dependency and neglect cases	
Place of care	Number	Per cent distri- bution
Total	16, 289	
Place of care reported	15, 974	100
Own home or case disposed of same day	736 5, 013	61 5 31 10 22
Jail or police station Only place of care One of the places of care	15 14 1	(2) (2) (2)
More than 1 place of care 3Other place of care	167 361	1 2
Place of care not reported	315	

<sup>1</sup> Includes cases of children cared for part of the time in detention homes and part of the time elsewhere, but excludes cases of children also held in jails or police stations.
2 Less than 1 per cent.

3 Excludes cases of children held in jails, police stations, or detention homes.

#### DISPOSITIONS

Although the majority of the dependency and neglect cases were official, 28 courts reported some unofficial cases. The extent to which individual courts dealt unofficially with dependency and neglect cases varied considerably. (See Table I, p. 33.) Although the Philadelphia court had more official than unofficial cases, the unofficial cases reported by this court constituted one-half of the total unofficial cases.

As is shown by Tables 18 and 19, some definite action such as committing the child to an institution or agency or placing him under supervision of the court or some individual was taken in three-fourths of the official cases, whereas placement or supervision of the child was advised in only one-fifth of the unofficial cases. Two-thirds of the unofficial cases were disposed of by making some adjustment of the difficulties involved.

Table 18.—Disposition in official dependency and neglect cases dealt with by 53 courts during 1928

Disposition	Official dependency and neglect cases	
	Number	Per cent distribu- tion
Total	13, 464	
Disposition reported	13, 463	100
Dismissed or continued indefinitely.  Child placed under court supervision.  Child placed under supervision of individual other than probation officer  Child committed to board, department, or agency.  State agency.  Other agency.  Type of agency not reported.	3, 111 999 3, 551 676 2, 841	20 23 7 26 5 21
Child committed to institution State institution for dependents. Other institution for dependents. Type of institution for dependents not reported Institution for delinquent children Institution for feeble-minded or epileptic children Institution for physically handicapped children	2, 947 170 2, 377 179 52 19	(1) 22 1 18 1 (1) (1)
Other institutionOther disposition	137	Î
Disposition not reported.	1	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Less than 1 per cent.

Table 19.—Disposition in unofficial dependency and neglect cases dealt with by 28 courts during 1928 <sup>1</sup>

Disposition	Unofficial depend- ency and neglect cases	
	Number	Per cent distribu- tion
Total	2, 825	
Disposition reported.	2, 767	100
Difficulty adjusted Referred to agency or other court Placement of child in institution recommended Placement of child elsewhere recommended Child placed under supervision of probation officer Other disposition <sup>2</sup>	1, 800 291 62 107 103 404	65 11 2 - 4 4 15
Disposition not reported	58	

Only 28 of the 53 courts reporting cases of dependency and neglect cases.
The majority of these cases were dismissed, dropped, or closed with a warning.

# CASES OF CHILDREN DISCHARGED FROM PROBATION OR SUPERVISION

Cases of delinquent children discharged from probation were reported by 45 courts and cases of dependent or neglected children discharged from supervision by 20 courts, 2 reporting only cases discharged from probation or supervision and not reporting cases of children coming before the court during the year. The majority of the cases were official; only 621 of the 8,493 probation cases and 21 of

the 1,936 supervision cases were unofficial.

No constant relation seems to exist between the number of children placed on probation or under supervision by the different courts and the number discharged from probation or supervision. (See Tables XIII, XVI, pp. 70,74.) In three courts the number discharged from probation was larger than the number placed under the care of probation In most courts, however, the number placed on probation exceeded the number discharged. Some courts apparently do not terminate probation at any definite time but allow cases gradually to In these courts cases may remain on the list or index become inactive. of active cases long after active supervision of the child has ceased and are reported "discharged" from care only at times of general review of the files. Unless this review is made at regular intervals the number of cases discharged may vary greatly from year to year. In a few courts, notably in New York City, the only cards filled out for cases discharged from care were for children who had come to the attention of the court during the time that the Children's Bureau cards had been in use. As contact with some of the cases may have extended beyond this period, the number reported as discharged is

Tables 20 and 21 show that a large proportion of the children under care of the probation departments were discharged because of improvement in conduct or because further supervision seemed unnecessary. About a tenth of the delinquent children, however, were discharged because of having reached the age limit of court jurisdiction rather than voluntarily discharged because of good behavior. Failure of probation as indicated by commitment to an institution for delinquent children is shown in about one-seventh of the cases. Some interesting differences are shown in Tables XIV and XVII (pp. 71, 75) as to the duration of the probation or supervision period in children's cases in different courts.

96776°-30---3

Table 20.—Reason for discharge in cases of delinquent children discharged from probation by 45 courts during 1928

Reason for discharge	Cases of delinquent children dis- charged from pro- bation	
	Number	Per cent distribu- tion
Total	8, 493	
Reason for discharge reported	8, 483	100
Further supervision not recommended, or discharged with improvement before reaching age limit. Child committed to institution. Institution for delinquent children. Other institution. Child committed to agency or individual. Child reached age limit. Other reason. Transferred to other court. Whereabouts unknown. Moved from jurisdiction of court. Other.	5, 338 1, 177 1, 082 95 377 866 725 11 218 293 203	63 14 13 1 4 10 9 (¹)

<sup>1</sup> Less than 1 per cent.

Table 21.—Reason for discharge in cases of dependent and neglected children discharged from supervision by 20 courts during 1928 <sup>1</sup>

Reason for discharge	Cases of dependent and neglected children dis- charged from supervision	
	Number	Per cent distribu- tion
Total	1, 936	
Reason for discharge reported	1, 931	100
Further supervision not recommended, or discharged with improvement before age limit. Child committed to institution Child committed to agency Child committed to individual. Child reached age limit Other reason	117	61 13 6 ,9 2 9
Reason for discharge not reported	5	

Only 20 of the 53 courts reporting cases of dependency and neglect reported cases of dependent and neglected children discharged from supervision.

## APPENDIX A.—COURTS FURNISHING STATISTICAL MATERIAL FOR 1928

Cards were received from 64 courts in 16 States and the District of Columbia for the entire calendar year 1928, and tables were prepared by 1 court (Philadelphia). The names of these courts with the largest city or town in the area served by each court are as follows:

served by each court are as rollows.	
Connecticut:	Largest city or town in area served
Juvenile court of the city of Bridgeport	Bridgeport.
Juvenile court of Hartford	Hartford.
District of Columbia: Juvenile court of the District of	
Columbia	Washington.
Indiana:	
Juvenile court of Adams County	Decatur.
Juvenile court of Clark County	Jeffersonville.
Juvenile court of Clay County	Brazil.
Juvenile court of Jennings County	
Juvenile court of Lake County	Gary.
Juvenile court of Marion County	
Juvenile court of Monroe County	Bloomington.
Juvenile court of Montgomery County	
Juvenile court of Steuben County	Angola.
Juvenile court of Vermillion County	
Juvenile court of Wayne County	
Iowa: Polk County juvenile court	Des Moines.
Louisiana:	
Juvenile court of Caddo Parish	Shreveport.
Juvenile court, Parish of Ouachita	Monroe.
Minnesota:	
Juvenile court of Hennepin County	Minneapolis.
Juvenile court of Ramsey County	St. Paul.
Juvenile court of St. Louis County (southern part)	Duluth.
Missouri: Juvenile court of Jackson County	Kansas City.
New Jersey:	
Juvenile court of the county of Hudson	Jersey City.
Juvenile court of the county of Mercer	Trenton.
New York:	
Children's court of Buffalo	Buffalo.
Chemung County children's court	
Clinton County children's court	Plattsburgh.
Columbia County children's court	
Delaware County children's court	
Erie County children's court	
Franklin County children's court	
Monroe County court, children's division	
Children's court of the city of New York	New York.
Ontario County court, children's part	
Orange County children's court	Newburgh.
Orleans County children's court	Medina.
Westchester County children's court	Yonkers.

	Largest city or town in area
North Carolina:	served
Juvenile court of Buncombe County	
Winston-Salem juvenile court	Winston-Salem.
Ohio:	
Juvenile court of Auglaize County	St. Marys.
Juvenile court of Clark County	Springfield.
Juvenile court, county of Cuyahoga	Cleveland.
Franklin County juvenile court	Columbus.
Common-pleas court of Hamilton County, division of	
domestic relations, juvenile court, and marital rela-	
tions	Cincinnati.
Juvenile court of Lake County	Painesville.
Common-pleas court of Mahoning County, division of	
domestic relations	Youngstown.
Court of common pleas, division of domestic relations,	
Montgomery County	
Juvenile court of Sandusky County	Fremont.
Pennsylvania:	
Juvenile court of Allegheny County	Pittsburgh.
Juvenile court of Berks County	
Juvenile court of Lycoming County	Williamsport.
Juvenile court of Montgomery County	
Municipal court of Philadelphia, juvenile division	
South Carolina: Children's court of Greenville County	-
Texas: Juvenile court of Orange County	
Utah:	3
Juvenile court, First district 1	Logan.
Juvenile court, Second district 2	-
Juvenile court, Third district 3	
Juvenile court, Fourth district 4	
Juvenile court, Fifth district 5	Richfield.
Juvenile court, Carbon County	Price.
Juvenile courts, other counties 6	
Virginia:	Court City
Juvenile and domestic relations court of Lynchburg	Lynchburg.
Juvenile and domestic relations court of Norfolk	
Juvenile and domestic relations court of Roanoke	
County	
Washington: Juvenile court of Pierce County	
washington. Juvenine court of Tierce County	_ cooling

<sup>1</sup> Cache, Boxelder, and Rich Counties.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Weber, Morgan, and Davis Counties.

<sup>3</sup> Salt Lake, Summit, and Tooele Counties.

<sup>4</sup> Utah, Juab, and Wasatch Counties.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Piute, Sanpete, Sevier, and Wayne Counties.

<sup>6</sup> Beaver, Duchesne, Emery, Garfield, Grand, Iron, Kane, Millard, San Juan, Uintah, and Washington Counties.

## APPENDIX B.—SOURCE TABLES

TABLE I.—Number of boys' and girls' official delinquency cases and number and per cent of unofficial delinquency cases, and number of children dren's official and unofficial dependency and neglect cases dealt with by 63 specified courts during 1928

						Delingu	Delinquency cases						Deper	ndency a	Dependency and neglect cases	t cases
·		T	Total			B	Boys			G.	Girls				Unofficial	ficial
Court			Unofficial	ficial			Unofficial	lcial	_		Unofficial	[]cia]	Total	Official	ļ	
	Total	Official	Number Per cent	Per cent <sup>1</sup>	Total	Official	Number Per cent	Per cent <sup>1</sup>	Total	Omeral	Number Per cent	Per cent <sup>1</sup>			Number Fercent	Fer cent
Total	38,882	27, 885	10, 997	28	32, 822	23, 399	9, 423	29	6, 060	4, 486	1, 574	26	16, 289	13, 464	2,825	17
Connecticut: Bridgeport Hartford District of Columbia	431 552 2,004	319 440 1, 265	112 112 739	26 20 37	354 491 1,692	265 390 1,063	89 101 629	25 21 37	77 61 312	54 50 202	23 11 110	30 18 35	69 144 533	56 142 533	13	19
Andaha: Clark County	26	9 25	1		18	18 52			40	47-	-		16	16		. ! !
	454 822 41		102 217 13	22 26	306 534 22	225 492 16	81 42 6	8 8 8	148 288 19	127 113	21 175 7	14 61	290 322	322	43	15
Montgomery County.			30 XI		25.0	ရှိသ တ ရ	47:		00.40	e	. ro ro 8		21	11	10	
Vermillion County. Wayne County.  Iowa: Polk County.		293 293	77 17 460	87	200 220	220	13 370	63	163	73	£ 4 8	55	630	269	361	57
Louisiana: Caddo Parish Ouachita Parish	232 257	111	121	52	194	88	106	55	38	23	15 27		85	61	24 86	28
Minnesota: Hennepin CountyRamsey CountySt. Louis County (southern	1,149	1,149			896 298	896 298			263	253			336	336		
New Jersey: Hudson County. Mercer County.	1,850 1,850	162 1,850 294	129	44	242 1,588 272	142 1,588 272	100	41	49 262 22	262	53		7.7	46	1	

<sup>1</sup> Not shown where number of cases is less than 50.

Table I.—Number of boys' and girls' official delinquency cases and number and per cent of unofficial delinquency cases, and number of children's official and unofficial dependency and neglect cases dealt with by 63 specified courts during 1928—Continued

						Delinque	Delinquency cases	so.					Deper	idency a	Dependency and neglect cases	t cases
		Ţ	Total			ğ	Boys			ď	Girls				Unofficial	ficial
Court			Unofficial	ficial			Unof	Unofficial			Unofficial	ficial	Total	Official		F
	Total	Official	Number Per cent	Per cent	Total	Official	Number   Per cent	Per cent	Total	Ometal	Number Per cent	Per cent			number Fercent	ref cent
New York: Buffalo. Chemung County. Clinton County. Columbia County.	938 124 25	938 124 14	11		870 96 14	870 96 6	æ		68 28 111	8885	60		70 115 37	70 115 27 113	10	
Colamora County Delaware County Erie County Franklin County Monroe County New York City	197 197 44 222 7, 204	10 197 44 222 7. 204			181 172 172 6, 255	181 38 172 6, 255			250 6 16 2 949	16 16 949			83 49 239 3,617	83 49 239 3,617		
Ontario County. Orange County. Orleans County. Westchester County.	100 133 88 13 88 88	100 32 13 529	359	40	83 31 743 743	83 30 12 436	307	41	17 2 1 145	17 2 1 93	52	36	84 61 37 370	84 33 365	28	46
North Carolina: Buncombe County Winston-Salem	106	343	106	100	92 274	274	92	100	14 69	69	14		84 26	26.33	51	61
Onlo:  Auglaize County Clark County Clark County Clark County Franklin County	28 395 2,636 763	26 156 1,754 763	239 882	61 33	23 294 2,235 550	21 130 1,445 550	164 790	56 35	5 101 401 213	26 309 213	75 92	74 23	46 98 1,141 613	43 87 925 613	3 11 216	11 19
Hamilton County Lake County Mahoning County Monigonery County	1, 097 67 1, 854 534 49	78 51 340 340	1,019 16 1,448 194 28	93 24 78 36	1, 097 58 1, 578 345 29	78 44 330 . 250 10	1, 019 1, 248 1, 248 195	93 79 28 28	276 189 20	7 76 90 90	200 99 9	72 52	361 40 185 496 60	216 37 138 353 27	145 3 47 143 33	40 25 29 25 25
Fennsylvania: Digelheny County Berks County Lycoming County Monigonery County Philadelphia	1,243 103 13 65 65 6,200	1, 243 97 13 65 8, 371	2,829	6 6	1, 033 77 6 5, 411	1, 033 73 6 6 54 2, 843	2, 568	5 74	210 26 7 7 111 789	210 24 7 11 528	2 2 261	33	1, 018 31 25 34 3, 744	1, 018 31 25 34 2, 283	1, 461	39
South Carolina: Greenville County.	105	_	19 1	18	86	76.1	91	121	191	101	<b>5</b>		120	90	25	43

						JUV
		16			8	
		23	12-	4	∞	
က	-	122	-10	1	30 224	20
60	-	145	4.	7.0	232	. S
	83	64			3	
Ī	42	74	23	11	4	
T	0 6	34∞	10	18	142	32
	12 62	116	28	29	34 146	32
	75	22.5	45	24		
63	222	206	193	20		
7	174	203	232	162	245 523	122
6	289	260	425	212	245 523 10	122
	38	70	\$ 22	25	1	
22	264	580 261	216	61	4	
7	197	245	237	88	279 665 12	154
6	347	852	453	241	279 669 12	154
Texas: Orange CountyUtah:	First district	Third district Fourth district	Fifth district	Other counties.	Lynchburg Norfolk Roandra County	Washington: Pierce County.

<sup>2</sup> Includes official eases for 12 months and unofficial eases for 9 months.

TABLE IIA.—Age of boys and girls dealt with in delinquency cases by 62 specified courts during 1928 and age limit of original jurisdiction of court.

 $1\,\rm Only\,59$  of the 62 courts reporting delinquency cases reported girls' delinquency cases.  $^2\,\rm Not$  shown where number of children is less than 50.  $^3\,\rm Loss\,than\,1$  per eent,

Table IIA.—Age of boys and girls dealt with in delinquency cases by 62 specified courts during 1928 and age limit of original jurisdiction of court—Continued

						Childr	en dealt v	Children dealt with in delinquency cases	linquency	cases					
Are limit of original furisdiction, sex of							Age	Age of child reported	ported						
child, and court	Total		Under 10 years	0 years	10 years, under 12	under 12	12 years, under 14		14 years, under 16	under 16	16 years, under 18	under 18	18 years and over	nd over	Age not re- ported
		Total	Number	Number Per cent	Number	Number   Per cent   Number   Per cent	Number		Number Per cent		Number Per cent	Per cent	Number Per cent	Per cent	
COURTS WITH ORIGINAL JURISDICTION UNDER 16 YEARS—continued															
Marion County	442		27	9	54 4.00	12	105	24	252	22	4	Н			
Montgomery County.	0 10		- 60 6		1 1 0		- co +		010	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1					6
Vermillion County	202	202	203	4	-90	12	# O #	18	33.5	64		2		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
New Jersey: Hudson County Mercer County	1,300	1,	114	9	242	19	416	32	516	40	∞ <del>-</del>	(3)			4
New York: Buffalo	761	758	946	9 9	102	13	241	32 33	360	47	6-	,		3	60 53
Clinton County.	148	34.5	9 0	OT	d c14	707	1 ro E	000	2 - 6	3					
Delaware County  Erie County	176 176	8 8 176	0 1 7	00	33	18	228	27	14.85	46	-	1			
Franklin County Monroe County New York City.	37 171 5,931	36 171 5, 900	333	6	7 11 843	14	12 51 1, 782	30	106 2,938	62	4	(3)			31
Ontario County Orange County	255	23.83	010	12	01 80	12	200	33	13	43					0000
Westchester County North Carolina:	715	712	52	7	100	14	200	29	301	42	53	7			
Buncombe County Winston-Salem	235	233	30	13	15 51	17	25 76	88	37	33 43	1	1			10 61
Ponnsylvania: Allegheny County Barks County	892	891 76	69	∞ ∞	131	15	289	32	381	£ 54	19	3	2	(3)	
Dycounting County Montgomety County Philadelphia South Carolina: Greenville County	4, 371	4, 317	389 10	139	10 759 19	. 20	1, 238	38 23 36	1,858	244 243	70	21	9	(3)	4.4

<sup>3</sup> Less than 1 per cent.

							101										. w	1 .	eo i
16				`										14		13			
(3)										21		(3)		<u>e</u>		2	(3)		
9										4		8		60		00	1		1
8		1				(3)		20		10		2		21	03	28	50	18	
73		C7				8	-	28		19	#	16		379	293	388	99	48	00.8
09	57	52	57			76		51	27	54		09		34	34	37	35	333	
1, 578	34	119	36	101	227	38 -	12	72	8	66	9 67	403		622	202	65	117	68	13
25	34	30	27			16 25		20	37	26		23		24	25	17 20	25	27	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
645	. 16 21	69	17	0 00 01	co	227	0	28	23.5	47	700	152 -	· ·	432	359	330	98	7.1	10
∞	14	6	13			8		4	18	9		œ		13	13	10	13	14	
212	11 9	21	∞-	1 - 61		67		9	11	II	1 17	192		239	194	17 24	43	37	614
4	∞	7	က			60		10	18	H		9		6	7	20	7	œ	
111	9	16	61-	1	1	26		7	-11	73	1	40		162	108	14 39	25	22	8
2, 631	76 19	19	88	11 12	197	50 - 918 -	17	141	14	182	30;	11 669 19	-	1,837	1,456	174 198	338	267	35 -
2,647	76 61	228 19	63	11 14	16	50 50 921	27.	141	14 62	183	9;	11 675 19		1,851	1, 457	187	341	267	38
, Girls	Connecticut: Bridgeport Hartford	New Jersey: Hudson County Mercer County	New York: Buffalo Cheming County	Clinton County.	Delaware County Erie County	Franklin County Monroe County New York City	Ontario County	Westchester County	North Caronna: Buncombe County Winston-Salem.	Pennsylvania: Allegheny County	Lycoming County	Montgomety County Philadelphia South Carolina: Greenville County	COURTS WITH ORIGINAL JURISDICTION UNDER 17 YEARS	Boys	District of Columbia	Ouachta Parish  Texas: Orange Country	Girls	District of Columbia	Caddo Parish Ouachita Parish

TABLE IIA.—Age of boys and girls dealt with in delinquency cases by 62 specified courts during 1928 and age limit of original jurisdiction of court—Continued

		Age not re- ported			195	1	10100		13 92 3	5 61	1	П	3	3	6		1
		18 years and over	Number Per cent		T.		© ©		වව 1	3	(a) <b>1</b>	3		200		1	
					55	1	3		⊶ vo co	ಣ	0000	-12	100	9	-	9	
		16 years, under 18	Number Per cent		31	32	58 33 58 88		41 27 37	37	30	3.88	388	24	37	44.44	21
					3, 121	170	228 94 62	11	108 536 180	362	377 76 8	98	171	800	68	97 208	24
y cases		14 years, under 16	Number Per cent		32	25	32 37 35		30 33 34	31	31 38	32	339	888	33.5	23	31
Children dealt with in delinquency cases	eported				3,185	133	255 104 78	6	79 666 166	307	. 392 116 12	82	220	101	62	53 109	36
with in d	Age of child reported	12 years, under 14	Number Per cent		19	20	21 17 14		15 20 17	16	22	14	23	222	18	19 17	30
ren dealt	Age	12 years,	Number		1,913	105	168 48 32	-	39 404 83	154	245 67 7	35	138	79	33	41 79	35
Child		10 years, under 12	Number Per cent		11	10	13 10 13		85 <u>1</u> 6	10	10	8 C	00.12	13	11	10	15
		10 years,	Number		1,097	55	88 88 88 88		21 248 42	96	143 30	21	\$ 55.55	84.5	8,8	25 48	17
		Under 10 years	Number Per cent		9	12	10		10 tr 60	9	5	7-	180	î E	7	ಬಗು	3
		Under	Number		638	64	38	2	13 136 14	450 400	92 16 2	17	188	40	- 2	23	4
		E-	1 Ocal		10,009	527	791 281 225	23	1,995 488	976 46	1, 252 308 29	255	604 241	362	186	473	116
		Total			10, 204	528	794 283 227	23	2, 087 491	981	1, 295 309 29	256	241	365	195	221 473	116
		Age limit of original jurisdiction, sex of child, and court		COURTS WITH ORIGINAL JURISDICTION UNDER 18 YEARS	Boys	Iowa: Polk County	Ramsey County. St. Louis County (southern part)	Auglaize County.	Clark County. Cuyahoga County. Franklin County.	Hamilton County	Mahoning County Montgomery County Sandusky County	First district	Third district. Fourth district	Fifth district	Other counties.	Northburg Northburg Recorder County	Washington: Pierce County

					,
.34			8 9 4 T T T	1 1 2	1
_		-	-	5	
© _	(3)	(£)	(3)		
7	•	1 1		2	
36	34	28 39 58	39 36 40 38 37	43	42
940	21.2% 6 98.2.11.2	88 44 14 14 2	4.14.7 8.6.86.86.0 6.00	13 42 17 17 9	58 1 15
39	49	35 48 29	41 40 40 37 38	388	34
1,016	67 111 7 12 12 4	50 106 17 222 22	36 77 77 93 68 68	119 111 22 120 130	8 1 1 8
1,(					
16	20 20	15 10 12	15 17 17 18 18	13	16
421	81 82 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84	22 29 10	27.50 83.27 84.17 85.17	14842°	10 22 7
23	φ m	10	10 10 to to	Ø	5
127	10011	15 6 1 4	400 90 80 40	2002-0	81-
က	100	= -	10 to 1 4 10	0	2
80	1.0	16	13 10 10 9	136666	3.1
2, 591	4 8 8 4 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	144 223 76 46	251 251 201 201 201 201	742884272 7284272	137 137 30
2,625	4 8 8 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	144 224 77 46	396 396 198 262 179	88888468 8888468	30 137 2 2 50
dirls	Indiana: Clark County Clark County Lake County Marion County Monroe County Monroe County Stenben County Vermilion County Wayne County	Iowa: Polk County Minnesota: Mennepin County Rannsey County. St. Louis County. Ohio:	Clark County. Clark County. Frankin County. Lake County. Mahoning County. Montgomery County.	First district. Second district. Third district. Fourth district. Fifth district. Other counties.	Vrginal Lynchburg Lynchburg Norfolk Roanoke County Washington: Pierce County

<sup>3</sup> Less than 1 per cent.

Table IIB.—Method of dealing with first case disposed of during the year for delinquent boys and girls under 10 years of age dealt with by 62 specified courts during 1928 <sup>1</sup>

	Child	ren dea	alt wit	h in de was	linque report	ncy ca ed	ses for	whom	age
				Und	er 10 y	ears of	age		
Court	Total			N	1ethod	of dea	ling w	ith cas	e
	10001	Total	Per cent 2		Official		U	noffici	al
				Total	Boys	Girls	Total	Boys	Girls
Total	34, 359	2, 330	7	1,382	1, 273	109	948	835	113
Connecticut: Bridgeport	409	40	10	32	29	3	8	5	;
Hartford District of Columbia	537 1, 723	76 130	14 8	62 44	62 39	<u>5</u>	14 86	14 69	1
Indiana: Clark County	9								
Clay County Lake County	26 429	25	6	14	13	1	11	11	
Marion County	716	32	4	24	23	i	8	4	
Monroe County Montgomery County Steuben County	37	1 3		1	1		2 3	2	}
Steuben County	27 85	$\begin{vmatrix} 4\\2 \end{vmatrix}$		1	1		3 2	2 2	
Vermillion County Wayne County Iowa: Polk County	28								
Louisiana:	671	80	12	11	10	1	69	54	1
Caddo Parish Ouachita Parish Minnesota;	209 234	14 42	7 18	6 4	6 4		8 38	8 35	
Hennepin County	1, 014	40	4	40	38	2			
Ramsey County	357 271	24	9	7 11	7 11		13	12	
Hudson County  Mercer County	1, 523 248	130 27	9	130 27	114 27	16			
New York:			1						
Buffalo Chemung County	821 96	48 13	6 14	48	46 12	2			
Clinton County Columbia County Delaware County	25 61	8	13	8	8				
Delaware County	10	1		. 1	1				
	192 42	15 1	8	15 1	14	1		<b>-</b>	
Franklin County Monroe County New York City Ontario County Orange County	221	3	1	3	333	26			
Ontario County	6,818	359	10	359 10	10	20			
Orange CountyOrleans County	23 10	$\frac{1}{2}$		$\frac{1}{2}$	1 2				
Westchester County	853	59	7	13	12	1	46	40	
North Carolina: Buncombe County	101	10	10				10	9	
Winston-SalemOhio:	295	41	14	41	30	11			
Auglaize County	28	2		. 2	2				
Clark County Cuyahoga County	349 2,385	17 149	5 6	4 40	37	3	13 109	10 99	:
Franklin County	682 976	14 54	2 6	14	14 3		51	51	
Lake County	54	10	19	3 5	3 2	2	5	5 90	
Lake County	1, 503	102 25	5	8	4	4	100 17 2	12 2	
Pennsylvania:	. 49	2					2	2	
Allegheny County Berks County	1,073	71 6	6	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	69	2			
Lycoming County	. 12	1		. 1		1			
Montgomery County Philadelphia	4.986	429	9	198	183	15	231	206	
South Carolina: Greenville County Texas: Orange County	94	13	14	12	10	2	1	1	

<sup>1</sup> Only 59 of the 62 courts reporting delinquency cases reported girls' delinquency cases.  $^2$  Not shown where number of children is less than 50.

Table IIB.—Method of dealing with first case disposed of during the year for delinquent boys and girls under 10 years of age dealt with by 62 specified courts during 1928—Continued

	1								
	Child	ren de	alt wit		elinque report		ses for	whom	ı age
				Und	ler 10 y	years o	f age		
Court	Total			ı	Method	d of de	aling w	ith ca	se
	Total	Total	Per cent		Officia	1	τ	noffici	al
				Total	Boys	Girls	Total	Boys	Girls
Utah: First district Second district. Third district. Fourth district. Fifth district. Carbon County. Other counties. Virginia: Lynchburg. Norfolk.	302 278 702 286 389 90 213 249 610	19 29 20 26 43 7 3 8 26	6 10 3 9 11 8 1	3 11 3 2 19 7 2 8	3 9 2 2 19 7 1	2 1 1 1 1 3	16 18 17 24 24 1	14 18 16 22 21	
Roanoke County	11 146	4	3	4	4				

Table IIIA.—Color and nativity of boys dealt with in delinquency cases by 62 specified courts during 1928

		Color	not re-		40					1 1 1		
			red	Per cent 1	15	1 13 56	13 29		26	12 03	111	60
			Colored	Number	4, 491	5 61 813	37 127 2	4.0	51	26 6	63 26	56
			ty not rted	Number Per cent 1 Number Per cent 1	10	(2)			44	1 4	(2)	2
			Nativity not reported	Number	2,814	1 426	1	F	82	9 10	2	2
cases			n born	Number Percent <sup>1</sup>	2	(2)	6			1 11	40	3
nquency	ed		Foreign born	Number	534	8.4	27 27			9	50	26
Boys dealt with in delinquency cases	Color reported	White	Native, parentage not reported	Number Per cent 1	4	(2)	-		1	SS 4	(2)	1
s dealt wi	ŭ	W.	Native, p		1, 112	4	4		1	es ⊢ ∞	∞	1
Boy			Native, foreign or mixed parentage	Number Per cent 1	39	77 62 4	2	16	0 11 0	45 37 61	71 68	43
			Native, f mixed p		11, 226	262 296 57	164 8 8	8 6	12 c 2	356 105 139	921 155	547 37 3
			Native, native parentage	Number Per cent 1	31	21 25 11	20 63	48	05 08 08	80 80 80	18	53
			Native, parei	Number	8, 934	73 117 153	280 280 15	25 1 42 5	454 102 135	391 171 68	255 42	162 46 10
			Total		29, 111	341 477 1, 457	291 291 442 19	25 25 18 26 27	187 198	794 283 227	1,300	761 86 14
		· ·	Total		29, 151	341 477 1, 457	291 291 442 19	25.25	187 198	794 283 227	1,300	761 86 14
		Court			Total	Connecticut: Bridgeport Bartford District of Columbia Indiana:	Clark County Clay County Lake County Marion County Monroe County Monroe County	Steuben County Vermillion County Wayne County Town Polic County	Lowisians: Caddo Parish. Ouachita Parish.	Hennepin County Ramsey County St. Louis County (southern part)	Hudson County  Mercer County	Buffalo. Chemung County. Clinton County.

									, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
		<u>L</u>			25			(60	2			
	-	100	10	79	25 24 25 25 25	2523	4. T	85 35	(2)		45	3
23	2	539	69	48	212 120 120	152 152 67	123	982 28	461		100 248	ಣ
		(3)	m		m m (1) (8)	45		36	(3)			
1		27	25	2 & 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1857	25 247 2		1,556	004			
T	2	6.4	8	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 (2)	00H		2	(2)	21		
-	4	222	21	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 4 -	7 7 8 7 -	∞ →	711	01401	ol =	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
		(3)	-		(2) 33	300-1		(3)	10.60		1 1 1	1
Ī	37	122	9	1 1	638	130		15	3 20			П
	70	64 63 57	65		(3)	377	52.53	26 1	11 22 34 2	33	67 65	13
83	124	3, 705 47	468	1 1	916	473 31	558 40	1, 145	27 54 204 5	30	44	15
	26	32 24 37	18	48	70 111 67	34 67	23 45	54 14 63	98 43 8 62 3 8 6	98	53 45	84
08 ×	46	1, 419 30	126	44	21 191 225 331	20228	348	27. 599 30 3	220 183 376 234	58 187	211	97
47	176	5, 924 82	715	92 235	23 274 2,062 491	1,295 1,295 308	892 76	4, 368 79 9	256 251 605 240	194	221 473 10	116
47 «	176 37	5, 931 82 82	715	92	23 274 2,087 491	1, 295 309 309	892 76	4,371	256 251 607 241	90 195	221 473 10	116
Columbia County	Erawale County	Monroe County New York City Ontario County Create County	Orleans County. Westelester County.	Buncombe CountyWinston-Salem	Omo: Aughize County Clark County Clark County Franklin County Hranklin County	Lake County Mahoning County Montgomery County Sandusty County	Pennsylvania: Allegheny County Berks County I growning County	Montgomery County Philadelphia South Carolina: Greenville County Texas: Orange County	Otah: First District Second district Third district Fourth district	Filth district. Carbon County. Other counties.	Vugina: Lynchburg Roanolk County	Washington: Pierce County

 $^{\rm 1}\,\rm Not$  shown where number of boys is less than 50.

Table IIIB.—Color and nativity of girls dealt with in delinquency cases by 59 specified courts during 1928 1

		Color	not re- ported		8							
			red	Per cent 2	21	. 69 69	14 25		20	C3 KD	6	9
			Colored	Number	1,160	7 111 185	1 19 68		29	r0 63	8 %	4-1
			ty not	Per cent 2	5	17	4			œ		
			Nativity not reported	Number	255	46	10		61	9 2		
sases			n born	Number Per cent 2 Number Per cent 3	57	1	1 2			Н	63	
Girls dealt with in delinquency cases	peq		Foreign born	Number	117	I	60 44			63 60	1.55	
ith in deli	Color reported	White	Native, parentage not reported	Per cent 2	23	1	2				ව	
s dealt w	ŏ	W	Native, parents not reported	Number	103	2	8		-	2	-	
Girl			Native, foreign or mixed parentage	Per cent 2	32	66 61 3	46 1		9	41 48	69	57
			Native, f mixed p	Number	1, 791	50 37 9	04 3	1	8 н	92 37	158 12	36
			Native, native parentage	Per cent 2	39	24 21 9	32 73		74	53 49	19	37
			Native, parei	Number	2, 184	18 13 25	3 44 199 17	2800	107	119 38 12	44 8	23 18 11
			Total		5, 610	76 61 267	274 138 174 18	38.58	144 38 36	224 77 74	228	25
			Total		5,613	76 61 267	138 138 274 18	\$ \$2 £0	388	224 77 46	228	
		Court			Total	Connecticut: Bridgeport Hartford District of Columbia	Clark County Clay County Lake County Marion County Mouree County	Montgomery CountySteuben County	Iowa: Folk County Louisiana: Caddo Parish Onachita Parish	Minnesota: Hennepin County Ramsey County St. Louis County (sorthern part).	New Jersey: Hudson County Mercer County	New York: Buffalo. Chemung County. Clinton County.

		,	JUVENI	LE-COU	KI STAT	istics,	1928
	1		1	1			
	11	16	21 15 16	16 26 22	× ×	1	56
2 2	105	23	19 58 32	14 46	252		1183
			67.69	58	12		
	9		132	73	182		
	0 6 2	L	(3)	4	2	5	
iee	112	10		10	111 6	2 2	
		2	19	П		m	
1 1 1	0	m	72	2	4	60	
	34	53	47	32 5	53	21	н
111	519 519 1	75	181	o 48 e c 2 18 t	195	282	1 0
	25	21	76 16 79	20 67 33	20	71	43
220	233	30 10 10 14	69 64 157	1119	133 5	\$85428	25.2 55 8 28.2 55 8
14 2 16	920 27	141 42	91 395 198	262 178 178 20 183	675 11 19 19	25 27 27 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	30 137 30
16	921 17 2	141 141 62	91 396 198	262 179 20 183	675 111 19	25 4 5 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	30 137 30
Columbia County Delaware County Eric County	Monroe County New York City Ontario County Orange County	Westchester County Westchester County Buncombe County Winston-Salem	Ohio: Auglaize County Clark County Cuyahoga County Franklin County	Mahoning County Mahoning County Montgomery County Pennsylvania: Pennsylvania:	Lycoming County Lycoming County Montgomery County Philadelphia South Carolina: Greenville County Free district	Second district. Third district. Fourth district. Fifth district. Fifth district.	Virginia: Lynchburg Norfolk Roanoke County Washington: Pierce County

96776°-30-4

<sup>3</sup> Less than 1 per cent. <sup>2</sup> Not shown where number of girls is less than 50. 1 Only 59 of the 62 courts reporting delinquency cases reported girls' delinquency cases.

Table IV.—Source of complaint in delinquency cases dealt with by 62 specified courts during 1928

		Source of com- plaint not re-	ported	84	1 2	2 2	4	8	1	2	1	
		source	Per cent 1	1	(3)	(E)		8 8	s ≈ ⊢	∞		
		Other source	Num- ber	511	2 2	2		57	29 4	147	1	
		gency	Per cent 1	2	(3)		1	31	10 10 m	(2)	(3)	
		Social agency	Num- ber	833	440	× ×		10	39	17	41-014	
		ttion er	Per cent 1	9	1012	26		3	~ EE	HR	9	
	p	Probation officer	Num- per	2, 194	9 61	118	4	95	22 -1	25 15	911	
ases	Source of complaint reported	lepart-	Per cent 1	11	(3)	17	16	13	(2) (2) 15	32	(2)	
Delinquency cases	aplaint	School depart- ment	Num- ber	4, 186	411	4 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	100 10 47	10 91	106 1 45	594	84.0	,
Delinq	se of cor		Per cent 1	14	(2) 12	128	-1	32	28 11 41	23	17	3
	Source	Other indi- vidual	Num- ber	5, 606	39 2 231	2469	141	241	151 105 119	429	2122	500
		ts or	Per cent 1	6	7 7 10	14	10	w w	115	19-7	5.3	1
		Parents or relatives	Num- ber	3, 639	28 37 210	41	-6140	62 81	174 14 22	124	73067	- 67
		92	Per cent 1	26	79 84 68	35	99	35	8 33 28	88	95	
		Police	Num- ber	21,829	341 462 1, 355	4 9 159 477	, 25 co	267	627 243 99	512	889 45 112	2
			Total	38, 798	430 552 2,002	9 452 822 822	82888	22 229	1, 148 375 291	1,848	937 124 25	201
		Total		38, 882	431 552 2,004	9 454 822 1	30 32 30 88	30 753 232	1, 149 375 291	1,850	938	32
	1	Court		Total	Connecticut: Bridgeport Harford District Columbia	Indiana: Clark County Clay County Lake County Marion County	Monroe County Montgomery County Steuben County Vermillion County	Wayne County.  Iowa: Polk County Louisians Caddo Parish	Minnesota: Minnesota: Hennepin County Ransey County Ct. Taylor County	New Jersey: New Jersey: Mercer County	New York: Buffalo Chang County Clinton County	Columbia County

		00.11111			, .	
1 16		7 7 1	T	1	44-4400	4
(3)	(3)	3.23	න ව <sup>°</sup>	21	0 1 4 6 6 5 1 1	(2)
1 10 25	60 60	30		65	08 417 48	202
9 84 8	1 6	00 mm 01 m	es   6161	31.6	00000	
12 39 263 3	50	22 22 23 24 64	22 22	4.66.0	13 19 19	011-0001
(2) 15	(3)	151 727	13	(3)	50 8 8 113 56 30	0 1
11 15	62 4	825.55 82.55 83.55	668 1	12	173 26 34 40 251	2 43 0
ro   10 to 4	29 10 30	27 17 15 38 34	31	500	21 28 10 15 15 20	16 3 8
9 193 4	257 11 102	23 23 406 406	165 8 61 10	370	227 234 31 67 47	21 21 13
38 115 18 9	21 11 38	918 118 218	13	3 9 16	(2) 27 27 15 15 49 10	16
75 6 34 1,312 9	190 12 132	22 23 11 28 23 11 28	67 6 114 111	2 554 17	84 45 32 47 23 23	108
2 115 33	7 7 7	77 10 88	12 12	12 8 15	92468675	en ∞ en
1,055 22 3	65 24	4 2 2 4 1 2	97 7 149 12	209 209 2	20 35 58 112 112 112	51
47 55 60 66 66	36 71 24	55 44 44 43 43 43 43 43 43 43 43 43 43 43	28 38	68 75 52	20 24 22 22 23 23 24 34 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35	65
92 33 121 4, 344 66	821 75 81	1, 451 1, 451 408 816 21 21	319 61 61	4, 655 4, 555 4	69 133 461 97 60 411 83	199 437 9 112
197 43 222 7, 188 100 33	13 887 106 343	28 394 2, 635 1, 097 67	1, 236 103 103	6, 199 105 8	343 314 824 304 449 95 238	275 669 12 154
197 44 44 7, 204 100 33	13 888 106 343	28 395 2, 636 1, 097 1, 097	1, 243 103	6, 200 9, 105 9	347 318 825 308 453 97 241	279 669 12 154
Erie County Franklin County Monroe County New York City Ordario County Ordario County Organe County	Orleans County Westchester County North Carolina: Buncombe County Winston-Salem	Auglaize County. Clark County. Cuyahoga County. Franklin County. Lake County. Lake County. Mahoning County.	Montgomery County Sandusky County Ransylvania: Allegheny County. Berks County	Dyounnis County Montgomery County Bouth Carolina: Greenville County Teas: Orange County	First district.  First district.  Second district.  Third district.  Fight district.  Carbon County.  Other counties.	v rights: Lynchburg Norfolk Roanoke County Washington: Pierce County

<sup>1</sup> Not shown where number of cases is less than 50.

Table V.—Place of care pending hearing or disposition of delinquency cases dealt with by 62 specified courts during 1928

		Place of care not re- ported		350	1 2	index 80	x	. E. E.	502	1	2
		place are	Per cent 4	<b>E</b>	ලව 1	1	6	ල ල ි	1 1		€
		Other place of care	Num- ber	149	112	∞	70 C	- HF	6 4		1 5
		than ace of	Per cent 4	П	(3)	ලල			П		63 63
		More than one place of care <sup>3</sup>	Num- ber	314	1 17	es			က		1
		police on <sup>1</sup>	Per cent <sup>4</sup>	က	es -1	×	8 -	(G)	8000	4	23
		Jail or police station <sup>2</sup>	Num- ber	1, 305	12	8 36 10	3	100	101 25	13	53
ases	orted	insti-	Per cent	10	9	(SE)			(6)	©	(6) 2 20
Delinquency cases	care rep	Other insti- tution	Num- ber	3, 695	25	2-1		0101	294	5	13 3
Delinq	Place of care reported	rtion ne 1	Per cent	28	(8) 9 32	198	25	13	0161	34	35 6
	Ĩ	Detention home <sup>1</sup>	Num- ber	10, 686	48 639	85 68	951	28	111 72 2	624	330
		Boarding home	Per cent 4	(5)	(6)	(6)	1	ව ඉව	3	<b>©</b>	(3)
		Boar	Num- ber	109	20 cs	9	120	<b>3</b>	ною	10	
		ome or sposed e day	Per cent 4	28	89 91 65	71 90	96	888	84 86 86	88	64 88 75
		Own home or case disposed of same day	Num- ber	22, 274	381 501 1,305	17 320 740 27	228825	186 168	927 180 251	1,215 $281$	602 107 15 48 9
		Total		38, 532	430 552 1,999	25 453 821 39	3888	219 254	1, 144 373 291	1,849	938 122 24 64 10
		Total		38, 882	431 552 2,004	822 822 414 417		232	1, 149 375 291	1,850	938 124 25 65 10
		Court		Total	Connecticut: Bridgeport Haritord. District of Columbia.	Clark County Clay County Lake County Marion County Monroe County	Montgomery County Steuben County Vermilion County Town Policy County Town Policy County	Lowa, I On County Loado Parish Ouachita Parish	Minnesota: Hennepin County Ramsey County St. Louis County (southern part)	New Jersey: Hudson County Mercer County	Arwa York: Buffalo County Chemung County Clinton County Columbia County Delaware County

	61	=	5	14301	20	155	188	1 1 1 1 1 1 20	
	-	4	11	<u>                                     </u>	1	1		2 -	
	€			<u> </u>	9	©		<u> </u>	<u>ee</u>
	0.4	34		1 1 2	18	1		8 222	1.61
9	114	16		(2)	(3)				
12	101	144		13		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			
T		(e)	7	(5)	4.71.8 4.71.8	<b>©</b>	30	(5) 11 15 6 6	13
Ť		4 4	7	37 151 17	275	63	31	1 34 123 19 3	27 87 19
23	1.88.1	∞	1	(5)	(6)	<b>©</b>	(6)	(5)	(9)
45	3, 423	89	-	HVV4H	16	es 1	411	8.4	1 1 1
]	8 8		4-1	41 38 46 46 66	4 5 5 8 4 8 4 8 4 8 9 8 8 9 8 9 8 9 8 9 9 9 9	74 26	77 62 3	36	38
63	88		44	10 161 990 351 723	533 158 17	808	3, 814 3, 814	299	253 9 74
7	©© 4	(9)	1	(9)	වෙ	(6)	(5)	(5)	ee
23	1124	60	-	60	4-1	21.	4 10-	481 227	1.62
69	55 51 83	72	886	57 61 32 32 32	94.88	385	88 88 88 88	98 8 8 8 9 8 9 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	39
135	3,610 421 288 88	632.2	92	1, 594 239 350	995 307 29	272	2, 356 69 69	339 275 397 273 449 92 92	246 324 30 60
197	7, 143 99	88 2 8	338	28 395 2, 632 758 1, 096	1, 834 530 49	1,088	65 6, 182 105 6	347 318 825 301 453 97 236	279 669 12 154
197	7, 204 100	888	106 343	28 395 2, 636 763 1, 097	67 1,854 534 49	1, 243	6, 200 105 9	347 318 825 308 453 97	279 669 12 154
1	1111		11		111		11111		
Erie County	frankin County Monroe County New York City Ontario County	Orange County Orleans County Westchester County	North Carolina: Buncombe County	Auglaize County Clark County Curk County Franklin County Hamilton County	Lake County. Mahoning County. Monigomery County.	Pennsylvania: Alleghany County Berks County	Lycoming County Montgomery County Philadelphia South Carolina: Greenville County Texas: Orange County	Utah: First district Second district Third district Fourh district Fifth district Carbon County Other counties	Virginia: Lynchburg Norfolk Roanoke County Washington: Pierce County.

Includes cases of children cared for part of the time in detention homes and part of the time elsewhere, but excludes cases of children cared for part of the time in fails or police stations and part of the time elsewhere.

Includes cases of children cared for part of the time in fails or police stations or defention homes and part of the time elsewhere.

I Not shown where number of cases is less than 50.

Less than 1 per cent.

Table VIa.—Charge in boys' delinquency cases dealt with by 62 specified courts during 1928

Boys' delinquency cases	Charge reported	or Truancy Running away yond paren. Sex offense injury or lessness or drug law, or charge injury to respect intoxication person	Per Num- Per Rent <sup>2</sup> ber cent <sup>2</sup>	43 2,880 9 2,005 6 2,274 7 564 2 922 3 9,146 28 340 1 472 1	39         28         8         19         5         20         6         5         1         16         2         139         39         7         3         39         7         30	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10 5 9 1 2 3 6 1 2 2 7 38 2 4	37         23         4         24         4         68         12         9         2         13         2         221         37         11         2         3         1           28         5         3         14         19         9         9         4         4         2         12         5         6         2         8         4         5         2           35         31         14         19         9         9         4         4         2         12         5         6         25         8         4         5         2	51 53 6 5 1 103 12 34 4 16 2 202 23 13 1 15 2 6 6 2 24 8 7 2 12 4 54 18 2 1 5 2 6 6 6 6 7 1 0 4 0 7 1 10 4 0 8 38 9 1 1 1 (3)	434 27 8 1 157 10 13 1 50 3 416 26
es			Num- ber	<u> </u>	6 12 47		1			50
ency case	ported	x offense			2 2 4 1					4 113 2
delingu	harge rej				92.6	110	2	21 4.4	8 8	908
Boys'	D	Ungovabble or yond pg		2, 274	20 36 160	1 832	-0	89 7-6	24	157
		nning		1	1665					
		Rur		6,		11	1.1.1			
		uancy								
			Num	1 2,			111			
		Stealing or attempted stealing 1	-			<u>                                      </u>	11_	<u> </u>		
		Steal atter stea	Num	14, 064	137 192 715	13 181 284 11	21 6 7	218	454	508 229
		Total		32, 667	354 491 1, 692	306 306 534 19	52 ° 0	590 194 221	298	1, 588 272
		Tota		32, 822	354 491 1, 692	306 534 22		590 194 221	896 298	242 1, 588 272
		Court		Total	Connecticut: Bridgeport Harford District of Columbia	Clark County Clay County Lake County Marion County	Montgomery CountySteuben CountyVermilion County	Iowa: Polk County Louisiana: Caddo Parish Ouachita Parish	Minnesota: Hennepin County Ramsey County St., Louis County (southern	New Jersey: Hudson County Mercer County New York:

	30 41	ZIVILLE COV	J161 D12		0, 1020	,	
142			7	2			
7 1		€€ <sub>18</sub>	@	3	321	1	œ
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	9 m	1488 88141	10	139	27.20	10	10
© 6	2 4-1	- E 2 2	€	e 6	4651	3.2	
10	4 64 00	88 94 7 11 9 6 11 7 14 6 8 3 3	-	26 22	12 24 33 49 49	37 6 17	
31 35 10 35 10	812 8	7853 ° 105	27	36	22927	21 58 34	4
111 156 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 5 5 7 7 7 7 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	11 56	27 27 327 17 592 23	3 3 3	710 6 107	88 1103 23	45 141 178	50
1 2 4 8 2 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	9 II 6	7120m @ mm	2160 4	6 1	8214	ထ ကတ	-
2003 12 6 1	10 6 6	25 28 2 61 8 25 33 5 28 2 61 8	20 3	125	8 1 1 2 2 2 8 1 2 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3	17 8 45	<u>                                     </u>
4 - 4-6	1	61222	ne		98814	3	C1
0 0 1-54 1	-     -	8 45 6 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	15	<u> </u>	116 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	- 1	2
8 8 189		408617.81-	84 6		8 2 4 E 2 3	112	
	04	25 4 26 19 4 2 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2	81 3		32 11 1 1 (3		
			<u> </u>	~~ <u> </u>			
9 1 460	(3) 2	133367	90 2	8 1	894	1 6	
225 4 4	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	20 130 145 145 98 30	54	587	8 45 10	29	4
10 10 17	28.8	21 4 15 16 16 34 34	16 3	17	20 7 14	110	1
2	787	81 81 43 43 116	163	318 115 29	139 139 59	24 25 15	œ
28 50 50 67 67	23 43	42244888 80	61 52 85	39	44 49 52 46 71	33	- 62
2, 486 120 120 120 120 120 120 120 120 120 120	54 118	1, 135 1, 135 339 449 14 364 102	13 - 624 40 - 2 - 46	2, 099 43 3 -	128 348 136 197 69	82 61 171	96
6,113 6,113 1,122 1,133 1,133 1,133	92 274 23	2, 235 2, 235 1, 097 1, 578 1, 578	29 1,026 77 6	5, 411   86   7   296	289 709 260 425 97	245 523	122
181 181 182 172 6, 255 83 112	743 92 274 23	2,235 2,235 1,097 1,578 1,578	29 1, 033 77 6 6	5,411 86 9	289 709 425 97	212 245 523	122
Clinton County Columbia County Delaware County Bris County Franklin County New York City New York City Ontario County	Westchester County	Clark County.  Cuyahoga County  Franklin County.  Hamilton County.  Lake County.  Mahoning County.	Sandusky County Pennsylvania Allegheny County Berks County Lycoming County Montemery County	Philadelphia South Carolina: Greenville County Texas: Orange County Utah First district	Second district. Third district. Fourth district. Fitth district.	Other counties Virginis Lynchurg	Washington: Pierce County
-,	North By W Ohio:		Pen	Ph South Texas: Utah: Fi		Virg	Was

1 For detailed charges under this caption see Table VIc.

<sup>2</sup> Not shown where number of cases is less than 50.

Table VIB.—Charge in girls' delinquency cases dealt with by 59 specified courts during 1928 1

		Charge not re- ported		39							
		rge	Per cent 3	-	10	-			-	-	
		Other	Num- ber	29	17	4	-		n 1	2	
		ating or or aw, or cation	Per cent 3	1	1	<b>3</b>	1		-		3
		Violating liquor or drug law, or intoxication	Num- ber	65	3		4-6	8	. 1		2
		Act of care- lessness or mischief	Per cent 3	œ	1 5 27	3 1	17		4	2	1
		Act of lessne misc	Num- ber	479	1 3 84	10	1 28	43	g 4	5	1
		Injury or attempted injury to person	Per cent.³	3	33	1 2			1	5	1
		Inju atten inju per	Num- ber	152	951	17		12	24 60	41 1	
Girls' delinquency cases	ted	Sex offense	Per cent 3	19	30 38	11	19		88	16	9
odnenc	Charge reported	Sex o	Num- ber	1, 158	28 18 8	133 <sub>96</sub> 1	2-44		37 5	42	4.6
ls' deli	Charg	Ungovern- able or be- yond paren- tal control	Per cent	88	38.80	10	47	`\	88	26	15
Girl		Unge able yond tal c	Num- ber	1, 713	20 18 117	150	4 1 9 76	ου r.ο	28 1	86 8	10
		Running away	Per	15	2017	010	<u></u>		18	2	21
		Run	Num- ber	806	4411	1 22 0	1533	99	22 -	4.00	14
		Truancy	Per cent	12	41∞6	130	4		00	45	Ш
		Tru	Num. Der	752	11 5	15 38 38	0.0 0.0	120	8 6	118	14
		ng or ipted ing 2	Per	12	16 18 19	10	10		22	8	53
		Stealing or attempted stealing <sup>1</sup>	Num- per	727	11 11 58	308	1 -4 0	H 80	E & 41	0.80	36
		Total		6,021	77 61 312	8 148 287	100 8 2 2 2 8 2	38	253	262	888
		Total		6,060	77 61 312	288 288 198	36 10 163	38	253	262	8 8
		Court		Total.	Connecticut: Bridgeport Hartford District of Columbia	Clark County Clay County Clay County Lake County Marion County Monroe County	Montgomery County. Steuben County. Vermillion County. Wayne County.		Hennepin County Ramsey County St. Louis County (southern part)	New Jersey: Hudson County Mercer County	Buffalo

	J	O VIIIIII	2 00 0101	, DIIII	01100, 1	020
35			1			
(F)		<b>⊕</b>	e –	63 6	N 1-1	
89		3	2 8	18	-  -	1
€	60	3	1 1		1 1	1
8	17	114	12 3	4 0	2 11 1	1 1
9	2 2	တယ	16 3	1 1 9	8 8 1 1 8 1 S	13
4 1 2	3 18	25	43 5 5	86	9 8 9 1 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	19
2	2 6	6767	3 (+)	63	9	12
18	1 8 89	67.00	788 11	181	8 4	18
49 8	24	15 20 59	32 32 15	4	8 98	5
HE-4455	35	15 81 126 126	8 8 32 16	31011	37 - 08 6 - 4 - 6	23.2 %
39	19	16 10 10	25 21 33	29	12 12	56
36021412	27	19 66 21 4	70, 1 10, 1 68	230	9114912	82
14 27	60	8 16 10	6 12 21	35	4 11	5
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 5 0	2	88 21 21	1524 84	276	725552	7
	44	42 26 10	17 23 13	5	33	2
σ	64	42 104 22	84 th 82 82 82 82 82 82 82 82 82 82 82 82 82	37	3827	16 3
18	6	12 8 8	9 5 13		6	5
3 1 142 142	13	7 50 17	26 10 27 27	89 3	100 87 7	47 8
151 16 16 - 50 - 50 - 50	17 - 145 - 145 - 60 - 60	101 401 212	276 189 20 209 209	7 11 18 19	28 28 28 29 29	34 146 2 32
111 151 16 16 16 16 16 17 17 17 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	17 14 14 14 15	213 213	288 28 28 29 28 28 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29	2 11 2 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	251 116 28 28 29 29	34 146 32
		146	7 7			
Clinton County Columbia County Delaware County Frie County Franklin County Mouroe County				Dense Ounty Lycoming County Montgomery County Philadelphia South Carolina: Greenville County Utah:		Virginia: Lynchburg
nty	y y ounty-	tynty	nty	nty Jounty		ty.
Clinton County	Ontario County Orange County Orleans County Westchester Countyth Carolina:	Auglaize County Clark County Cuyahoga County Franklin County	Mahoning County Montgomery County Sandusky County Pennsylvania: Allegheny County Resis County.	Dense Young County	First district	nna: Lynchburg Norfolk Roanoke County hington: Pierce Coun
linton olumb elawar rie Cou ranklir onroe ew Yo	Ontario Cou Orange Cou Orleans Cou Westchester North Carolina: Buncombe (	uglaize lark Cuyahog	lahonii Contgoi andusk sylvani lleghen	ycomin Contgoi hiladel Caroli	irst dis scond ( hird di ourth ( ifth dis ther co	inia: Lynchburg Norfolk Roanoke C shington: P
CZARACO -	North World	Objective States	Penns Salar	South Utah:	EXHED.	Virginia: Lyncl Norfo Roan Washingt

1 Only 59 of the 62 cogrts reporting delinquency cases reported girls' delinquency cases, 1 For detailed charges under this caption see Table VID.

Not shown where number of cases is less than 50.
 Less than 1 per cent.

Table VIc.—Type of stealing charged in boys' delinquency cases dealt with by 62 specified courts during 1928

	Boys'	' delinquer	ncy cases in or attempt	n which ch ted stealing	arge was si	tealing
Court			Ty	pe of steal	ing	
	Total	Auto- mobile stealing	Burglary or unlaw- ful entry	Robbery	Other type of stealing	Not re- ported
Total	14, 064	1, 831	4, 239	698	4, 729	2, 56
Connecticut:	107	10	00			
Bridgeport Hartford	137 192	10	38 117		89 75	
District of Columbiandiana:	715	138	22	1	553	
Clark County	4 13	1 3	3			4
Lake County	181	19	84	36	1	4
Marion County	284	50	92	7	133	
Monroe County Montgomery County	11 5		$\frac{1}{2}$		1	
Steuben County	6	2	2			
Vermillion County	21	ī	10	7	2	
Wayne County owa: Polk County Louisiana:	7 218	38	5 44	6	4	12
Caddo Parish Ouachita Parish	55 77	7 3	9 26	10	26	3 1
Minnesota:	454	112	116	7	010	
Hennepin County	188 94	27 26	68 25	11	218 81 27	1
New Jersey: Hudson County	508	6	238 108	6	250	1
Mercer County New York: Buffalo	229 580	43	200	16	288	10
Chemung County	48 7	1	8		39 3	
Columbia County Delaware County	14 8	3	8 3	6	2	
Erie County Franklin County	90 23	3	25 20	5	57 3	
Monroe County	120	16	39		53	1
New York City	2, 486	181	921 43	196 1	134	1, 05
Ontario CountyOrange County	56 23	3 5	17		1	
Orleans County	10		4		1	
Westchester County North Carolina: Buncombe County	219	39	39	2	139	<b></b> ,
Winston-Salem	54 118	16	1 44	1	43	
Ohio: Auglaize County	6		4			
Clark County	120	18	29	2	40	3
Cuyahoga County Franklin County	1, 135	266	411	40	190	22
Hamilton County	339 449	97 114	64 89	$\frac{12}{14}$	$\frac{163}{222}$	1
Lake County	14	1	11		2	
Mahoning County	364	69	160	13	122	
Montgomery County Sandusky County	102	16 4	63 3	21	1	
Pennsylvania: Allegheny County Berks County	624	45 11	143 11	23	103 18	31
Lycoming County	2					
Montgomery County	46	3	12	8 108	1 22	
Philadelphia Fouth Carolina: Greenville County	2, 099 43 3	271 2	495 8	108	1, 225	3
Jtah:	Ů					
First district	114	15	16	4	29	Į.
Second district Third district	128 348	10 62	31 104	1 62	59 19	10
Fourth district	136	. 9	55	29	17	2
Fifth district	197	10	16		167	
Carbon County Other counties	69 82	$\frac{2}{2}$	11 9	11	4 6	5
Virginia:	02	4	9		U	
Lynchburg	61	1	10	2 4 4		4
Norfolk	171	19	51 4	4	90	
Roanoke County	8					

Table VId.—Type of stealing charged in girls' delinquency cases dealt with by 45 specified courts during 1928 <sup>1</sup>

	Girls	' delinquer	icy cases ir or attempt	n which ch ced stealing	arge was s	tealing
Court			Ту	pe of steal	ing	
	Total	Auto- mobile stealing	Burglary or unlaw- ful entry	Robbery	Other type of stealing	Not re- ported
Total	727	26	43	41	405	212
Connecticut:						
Bridgeport	12				12	
Hartford District of Columbia	11 58	2	1		11 55	
Indiana:						
Clark County Lake County	1 8	1		1	1	1 5
Marion County	30	1	9	3	12	5
Monroe County	1					1
Steuben County Vermillion County	1 4		1	2	1	1
Iowa: Polk County	8	4				4
Louisiana:	,					,
Caddo ParishOuachita Parish	1 3				2	1 1
Minnesota:						_
Hennepin County	53 8	5			47 8	1
Ramsey County	14				6	8
New Jersey:						
Hudson County Mercer County	9		I 1		8	1
New York:	0		1			1
Buffalo	36	1	2		28	5
Chemung CountyColumbia County	4 3		2	3	2	
Erie County	5				5	
Franklin County	1 9		1		<u>-</u> -	2
Monroe County New York City	142	5	5	11	7 9	112
Westchester County North Carolina: Winston-Salem	13				13	
North Carolina: Winston-SalemOhio:	14				12	2
Clark County	7				2	5
Cuyahoga County Franklin County	50	3	4	1	25	17
Mahoning County	17 26		6	1	12 13	4 7
Montgomery County	10			7	1	2
Sandusky County	2		1		1	
Pennsylvania: Allegheny County	27			1	10	16
Berks County  Montgomery County	1				1	
Montgomery County	1 89		6	1	1 79	
PhiladelphiaSouth Carolina: Greenville County Utah:	3			1		3
Second district	1				1	
Third district	10	1	1	6		2
Fourth district	3 7 7		î l	2	7	
Other counties	7				5	2
Virginia:						
Lynchburg Norfolk	4 7		1		6	4
Washington: Pierce County	3			2	1	

<sup>1</sup> Only 45 of the 59 courts reporting girls' delinquency cases reported cases in which the charge was stealing.

Table VIIa.—Disposition in boys' official delinquency cases dealt with by 61 specified courts during 1928 <sup>1</sup>

				Boys	' officia	l del	inquen	су с	ases				
					Disp	ositi	on rep	orte	i				
Court	Total	Total	Dismi or co tinu inde nite	ed fi-	Chil placed probat	on	Chi con mitt to in: tutio	n- ed sti-	Resti tion, i or co	ine,	Oth dispo	si-	Disposition not reported
			Number	Per cent 2	Number	Per cent 2	Number	Per cent 2	Number	Per cent 2	Number	Per cent 2	Disposition
Total	23, 399	23, 379	7, 046	30	10, 054	43	3, 241	14	1,715	7	1, 323	6	20
Connecticut: BridgeportHartford. District of ColumbiaIndiana:	265 390 1,063	265 390 1,063	98 157 221	37 40 21	130 218 489	49 56 46	26 13 32	10 3 3	4 13	2	7 2 308	3 1 29	
Clark County Clay County Lake County Marion County Monroe County	5 18 225 492 16	5 18 225 492 16	1 49 193	22 39	12 70 171 12	31 35	5 59 77 3	26 16	22 28 1	10 6	25 23	11 5	
Montgomery County Steuben County Vermillion County Wayne County Iowa: Polk County	5 8 9 7 220	5 8 9 7 220	88	40	3 6 7 1 81	37	1 1 1 5 22	10	1	(3)	1 1 1 1 28	13	
Louisiana: Caddo Parish Ouachita Parish	88 62	88 62	39	44 15	10 30	11 48	17 15	19 24	1 <u>1</u>	13 2	11 7	13 11	
Minnesota: Hennepin County Ramsey County	896 298	896 298	273 18	30 6	433 235	48 79	177 35	20 12	2	(3)	11 10	1 3	
St. Louis County (south- ern part) New Jersey:	142	142	38	27	21	15	18	13	41	29	24	17	
Hudson County Mercer County New York:	1	1, 588 272	781 5	49	241 225	15 83	212 42	13 15	336	21	18	1	
Buffalo	870 96 6 50	870 96 6 50	499 46 3 32	57 48 	170 29 2 10	20 30 	124 7 1 4	14 7 8	9	9	5	5 8	
Clinton County. Columbia County. Delaware County Erie County. Franklin County. Monroe County		8 181 38 172	54 13	30	103 1 149	57	23 11 21	13	4		1 1 9	1 	
Monroe County	6, 255 83 30 12	6, 239 83 30 12	2, 656 15 15	43 18	2, 407 62 1 10	39 75	568 6 13 2	9 7	599	10	9	(3)	16
Westchester County North Carolina: Winston- SalemOhio:	436 274	436 274	135 56	31 20	206 149	47 54	61 55	14 20	3	3	20	5 4	
Auglaize County Clark County Cuyahoga County Franklin County Hamilton County	. 18	21 130 1, 444 550 78	36 134 21 7	28 9 4 9	15 43 576 374 13	33 40 68 17	1 20 383 106 37	15 27 19 47	17 24 10	13 2 2	1 14 327 39 21	11 23 7 27	1 
Lake County Mahoning County Montgomery County Sandusky County Pennsylvania:	330	330 250 10	6 29 35	9 14	13 185 161 3	56 64	5 65 42 3	20 17	18 34 1	10 (3)	17 11 4	5 4	
Allegheny County Berks County Lycoming County	73	1, 033 73 6	59 12	6 16	757 41 2	73 56	181 19 4	18 26			36	3 1	
Montgomery County Philadelphia	2, 843	2, 843	625	20	28 1, 572	52 55	10 411	19	31	1	204	7 7	

Only 61 of the 62 courts reporting boys' delinquency cases reported official delinquency cases.
 Not shown where number of cases is less than 50.
 Less than 1 per cent.

Table VIIA.—Disposition in boys' official delinquency cases dealt with by 61 specified courts during 1928—Continued

										_		-	===
				Boys	officia	l del	inquer	ey e	ases				
					Disp	ositi	on rep	ortec	ì				
Court	Total	Total	Dismi or co tinu inde nite	ed efi-	Chil placed probat	on	Chi con mitt to in tuti	i- ed sti-	Restition, for co	ine,	Oth dispo	si-	Disposition not reported
			Number	Per cent	Number	Per cent	Number	Per cent	Number	Pcr cent	Number	Per cent	Disposition
South Carolina: Greenville County Texas: Orange County	76 7	76 4	15	20	38 4	50	10	13	4	5	9	12	3
Utah: First district Second district Third district	74 174 203	74 174 203 39	22 58 27	30 33 13	44 16	25 8	37 13 99 10	50 7 49	14 58 45	19 33 22	1 1 16	1 1 8	
Fourth district Fifth district Carbon County Other counties Virginia:	39 232 85 162	232 85 162	28 57 3 38	25 4 23	1 46 73 30	20 86 19	4 5 11	2 6 7	118 2 78	51 2 48	7 2 5	3 2 3	
Lynchburg Norfolk Roanoke County	245 523 10	245 523 10	141 163	58 31	76 193 6	31 37	20 34 4	8 7	8 83	3 16	50	10	
Washington: Pierce County	122	122	20	16	47	39	43	35			12	10	

Table VIIB.—Disposition in girls' official delinquency cases dealt with by 57 specified courts during 1928 <sup>1</sup>

				Girls	' officia	l del	inquer	юу с	ases				
					Disp	ositi	on rep	orted	1				
Court	Total	Total	Dismi or co tinu inde nite	on- ed efi-	Chil placed probat	on	Chi con mitt to in tuti	n- ed sti-	Restition, i	fine,	Oth dispo tio	osi-	Disposition not reported
			Number	Per cent 2	Number	Per cent 2	Number	Per cent 2	Number	Per cent 2	Number	Per cent 2	Disposition
Total	4, 486	4, 484	993	22	1,860	41	1, 178	26	61	1	392	9	2
Connecticut: Bridgeport. Hartford. District of Columbia: Indiana: Clark County.	54 50 202 4 7	54 50 202 4	17 5 18	31 10 9	20 30 97	37 60 48	15 14 22 4 3	28 28 11			2 1 65	4 2 32	
Clay County Lake County Marion County Monroe County Montgomery County Vermillion County Vermillion County Iwayne County Iowa: Polk County	7 127 113 12 3 3 6 73	7 127 113 12 3 3 6 73	1 31 34 1 1	24 30  29	2 33 53 10 4 15	26 47  21	3 36 20 2 2 2 2 2 33	28 18  45	2	2	1 25 6 1 1	20 5	

Only 57 of the 59 courts reporting girls' delinquency cases reported girls' official delinquency cases.
 Not shown where base is less than 50.

Table VIIB.—Disposition in girls' official delinquency cases dealt with by 57 specified courts during 1928—Continued

				Girl	s' officia	al de	inque	ncy (	eases				
					Disp	ositi	n repo	orted				:	
• Court	Total	Total	Dismi or ed tinu inde nite	ed efi-	Chil placed probat	on	Chi con mitt to ins	ı- ed sti-	Restition, i	îne,	Oth dispo	si-	Disposition not reported
			Number	Per cent	Number	Per cent	Number	Per cent	Number	Per cent	Number	Per cent	Disposition
Louisiana: Caddo Parish	23	23	3		1		15		1		3 3	<u></u>	
Ouachita Parish Minnesota: Hennepin County	9 253	9 253	43	17	130	51	5 78	31			3 2	1	
Ramsey County St. Louis County (south-	77	<b>7</b> 7	6	8	44	57	27	35					
ern part) New Jersey:	20	20	1		3		12		1		3		
Hudson County Mercer County New York:	262 22	262 22	130	50	44 8	17	54 14	21	21		13	5 	
Buffalo Chemung County	68 28 8	68 28 8	42 14	62	9 6 2	13	16 6	24	1		1 1	1 	
Clinton County Columbia County Delaware County	15	15	3 8		1		3 7 1						
Erie County Franklin County	16 6	16 6	4 2		8		3 4				1		
Monroe County New York City Ontario County	50 949 17	50 947 17	258 4	27	21 480 8	42 51	29 200 5	58 21	3	(3)	6	1	
Ontario County Orange County Orleans County	$\frac{2}{1}$	$\frac{2}{1}$	2		1								
Westchester County North Carolina: Winston-Sa- lem	93 69	93 69	30 23	32	35 33	38 48	23 7	25 10			5 6	5 9	
Ohio: Auglaize County	5	5	1		1		2 7	10			1		
Clark County Cuyahoga County Franklin County	26 309 213	26 309 213	9 14 10	 5 5	6 117 86	38 40	7 92 89	30 42	1 5	2	86 23	28 11	
Lake County Mahoning County	7 76	7 76	1 8	11	1 27 35	36	$\begin{array}{cc}  & 3 \\  & 34 \end{array}$	45			23 2 7		
Sandusky County	90 11	90 11	23 1	26	35 4	39	26 5	29			6	7	
Pennsylvania: Allegheny County Berks County	210 24	$\frac{210}{24}$	4	2	124 4	59	66 19	31			16	8	
Lycoming County Montgomery County	7 11	7 11	1		5		8		<del>-</del>		2		
Philadelphia	528 10	528 10	119 2	23	270	51	76 2	14	1	1	56	11	
Utah: First district	9	9	6				3						
Second district Third district Fourth district	23 42 8	23 42 8	5 11 5		7		9 26 3				2 5		
Fifth district Other counties	5 18	5 18	5 1 4		1 1		<u>2</u> -		2 8		1 3		
Virginia: Lynchburg	34	34	24		8		2		8	6	27	19	
Norfolk Roanoke County Washington: Pierce County	142 2 32	142 2 32	37	26	58	41	12 2 24	8	8		27		

<sup>3</sup> Less than 1 per cent.

		2010	not re-		9				1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1			
			red	Per cent¹	14	59	14	14	63.69	4	13	3	
			Colored	Number	2, 214	311	41	81	1-4	69	41.2	7	
			r not re-	Per cent	3	29	(2)	42	3	1 1			ıt.
ses			Nativity not re- ported	Number	466	155	41.6	36	9	-	-		2 Less than 1 per cent
neglect ca		ı	ı born	Per cent	1	-	(2)	(3)	)			(3)	Less thar
ncy and 1	eđ		Foreign born	Number Per cent <sup>1</sup> Number Per cent <sup>1</sup> Number Per cent <sup>1</sup>	157	es	9	1			1 1 1	1	2
Children dealt with in dependency and neglect cases	Color reported	ite	parent-	Per cent	1	(6)	(2)	(2)	(2)		1		
alt with i	CC	White	Native, parent- age not reported	Number Per cent <sup>1</sup> Number Per cent <sup>1</sup> Number Per cent <sup>1</sup>	207		9	1 10	1 2		1		
ildren de			Native, foreign or mixed parent- age	Per cent	31	38 2	323	1	2030	82	33 48	40	20.
ට්   			Native, fo or mixed p age	Number	4, 923	37 54 9	91	∞ -=	102 26 19	\$5° &	37 40	98	less than
			native Itage	Per cent	20	46 55 10	46	84 45 98	49	13 93	54 49	57	ildren is
			Native, native parentage	Number	7,852	32 78 52	132 229	490 38 106	216 101 24	106	¥84	136	1 Not shown where number of children is less than 50
			Total		15, 819	69 142 531	288 290 290		335 131 46		1123		where nun
			Total		15,825	69 142 531	286 290 290	581 108	335 131 46	67	88 118	239	shown v
		Court			Total	Connecticut: Bridgeport. Bridgeport Britond District of Columbia.	Clay County Jenings County Lake County Marion County	Montgonery County  Louisiana: Caddo Parish  Ouachita Parish	Minnesota: Hennepin County Ramsey County St. Louis County		Columbia County Erie County Franklin County	Monroe County	1 Not

Table VIII.—Color and nativity of children dealt with in dependency and neglect cases by 53 specified courts during 1928—Continued

		1	Color not re-	y cent	2000	14	4	£ 44.2 E	9 16	6	23 5	-
			Colored	Number Per cent	292 5 1	90	8 41	13 163 11 11 47	710	686	842 16 16	-
			Nativity not reported	Number Per cent		(6)	1	2	4 4	1	4	
ases			Nativit				1	2 22 2	26 4	5	139	П
neglect c			Foreign born	Number Per cent	es 64	2		(3)	(2)	(3)	1	100
dency and	rted		Foreig		88.21	7		2	H	HH	27	1
in depend	Color reported	White	Native, parent- age not reported	Number Per cent	3	2		9	(3)	1	1	4
lealt with		M			63	9		88	41-11	7	40	o
Children o	Children dealt with in dependency and neglect cases  Color reported  White ive, foreign   Netice recent	e, foreign ed parent- age	Number Per cent	202 18			50			31	68	
	Native, foreign or mixed parent- age			1, 807				34		1,130	43	
			Native, native parentage	Number Per cent	821.38	32	95	8.888			39	94
				Numbe	1, 348 60 60 49		122	284 284 306			1, 411 103	
			1 Total		3 3, 542 4 84 1 61		4 84 5 26	1, 133 1, 133 1, 133 1, 133 1, 133 1, 133 1, 133			120 120 120 3,589 3,589	135
			Total		3, 543	36.3	284	1, 133 589 361	814.0	97.	3, 594 120 120 3	135
			New York—Continued. New York City. Ontario County. Orange County.	Orleans County. Westchester County.	Buncombe County Winston-Salem	Onio Auglaize County Clark County. Franklin County Franklin County	Lake County. Mahouing County. Montgomery County. Sandusky County.	Pennsylvania: Allegheny County Berks County	Montgomery County Mindgomery County Philadelphia South Carolina: Greenville County Texas: Orange County	Utah: First district Third district		

٠,	Fourth district	27	27	24  -		co		_					-	
	Fifth district	14	14	14										
	Other counties.	r.	5	4		1					, ,			
>	Virginia:											_		
,	Lynchburg	30	30	202		3						7		
26	Norfolk	230	230	_	0	cc	-					2	œ	
	Roanoke County	200	000	000		)	_		1	1		}	)	
7	Washington: Pierce County	99	99	_	88	9	σ	6				2	65	
00		}	3	3	}	,	•					1	)	
1														
,	<sup>3</sup> Less than 1 per cent.													

96776°—30——5

TABLE IX.—Source of complaint on which families were referred to court in dependency and neglect cases dealt with by 53 specified courts during 1928

		Source of com- plaint not re-	ported	31			7 7			1			co	
		source	Per cent 1	-	60		-	4					(2)	
		Other source	Num- ber	120	6		2	14			-	1	7- 4	9
		l de- nent	Per cent 1	3	00		61	6	11	10	7	œ	03.00	
		School de- partment	Num- ber	251	28		401	35	9	17.	40	i ro	2020	7
cases		ation Ser	Per cent 1	7	10		44 83	က	8		25	60	(2)	
neglect o	. p	Probation officer	Num- ber	287	4,72		ဗ္တ တ	13	14		15	101	1 9	CT .
y and r	reporte	ece	Per cent 1	2	27		16 26	5	D 40	12			13	
Families referred in dependency and neglect cases	Source of complaint reported	Police	Num- ber	602	80 83		31	18	4.60	∞	15	9	7 231	0
d in de	se of cor	indi- nal	Per cent 1	9	3.1		9 8	21	នន	1	11	œ	-	
s referre	Sour	Other individual	Num- ber	208	10		= <del>1</del> = -	85	14	1	7	2.0	4 22	9
Familie		ts or	Per cent 1	37	21		32.83	42	38	4	41	63	28	
		Parents or relatives	Num- ber	2, 975	4 5		65.	163	82	3	25.2	37	5 1 499	19
		gency	Per cent 1	38	32		∞ 83	17	~1~	90	16	17	95	
		Social agency	Num- ber	3,079	20 54 104	2	40	67	ro 4	146 54 11	15	928	942	ာက
			Total -	8, 122	30 70 326	2	188	392	92	163 68 34	33	200	1,754	 88
		Total		8, 153	30 70 326	- - - - -	190	393	92	163 69 34	33	260	23 1,757	 8 8 8 8
		Court		Total	Connecticut: Bridgeport Bridgeport Briticot Columbia Indiana: Columbia	Jennings County.	Lake County Marion County	Iowa: Polk County.	Louisiana: Caddo Parish	Minnesota: Hennepin County. Ramsey County (southern part).	New York: Buffalo Chemung County	Columbia County.	Francounty Monroe County New York City	Ontario County.

9		1 1 1 1 10 11			
	22 112		(3)		
63	12 12 2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	1 10 44			63
-2	1129	H- 0		-	10
£ 4			22		2 6
	3 26 3	32 96	-	∞	10
4	27 88 7 7	27 27 3 135	21	9-1-1	113
	25.82	10   00		  xx	- le l l
10			© ,		
8 9	39 16 4	1881 22 6	4.0100	0214	21-  4
9	133	111 6	19	4	17
21 H WH	35 27 27 17 17 17 17 17	17 4 4 16 1	3 124 13	69	3
74	54 32 46 18	15 49 17	63 52	29	54
24 43 10	82 1163	13 147 6 72 1	35	1 32	67
95	6 41 15 52	90 30	27 1	51	∞
159	210 51 113	257 80 80 111 80	473 15 1	1 68 4	10 11
13 168 168 15	212 512 349 349 217	28 8 2 2 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 1 1 1 2	1, 766 1, 766 1	1771	18 124 2 48
13 168 . 58 21	21 52 513 350 217	303 303 24 424 18 18	1,766 68 1	171	124 124 48 48
Orleans County. Westchester County. North Carolina. Buncombe County Winston-Salem.	Ound.  Olark County. Clark County. Clark County. Franklin County. Hamilton County. Lake County.	Mahoning County Mathoning County Sandusky County. Pennsylvania: Allegheny County. Berks County Lycoming County	Montgomery County Philadelphia South Carolina: Greenville County Texas: Orange County Utali.	First district Third district Fourth district Fifth district Other countles	Vrigins. Lynchburg. Norfalk. Roanoke Country. Washington: Pierce Country.

1 Not shown where number of families is less than 50.

Table X.—Place of care pending hearing or disposition of dependency and neglect cases dealt with by 53 specified courts during 1928

		Place of care not re- ported		315	100	71217	10			35
		place are	Per cent 4	61	w 10	C1 00	9 10	2	2 6	(6)
		Other place of care	Num- ber	361	42	25	57 3	m m	241- 8	∞ ≈
		than lace re 3	Per cent 4	-	3	(3)	©		7	120
		More than one place of care 3	Num- ber	167	63 68	1 4	1		9	31
		police on 1	Per cent	9		©	-	(6)	1	
ses		Jail or police station <sup>1</sup>	Num- ber	15		П		1	1	
Dependency and neglect cases	oorted	Other institution	Per cent <sup>4</sup>	22	30	9 22	4 1-81	=	1 3 113 20	8
and ne	Place of care reported	Other ins tution	Num- ber	3, 474	21 19 18	26 70 33		38	1 88 17 17 1	2, 192
ndency	Place of	ntion 16 l	Per cent	10	17	16	27		53	57
Depe		Detention home <sup>1</sup>	Num- ber	1, 539	25	45 45	167	8181	16	136
		Boarding home	Per cent 4	5	13 12 (3)	14	9 7.2	17 45		(3) 2
		Boar	Num- ber	736	9 17 1	41	88 70 C	57 61 17	H4 H74	
		me or posed e day	Per cent	61	45 77	58 50	52 88	71 51	74 91 80 64	37 44
		Own home or case disposed of same day	Num- ber	9,682	37 79 402	166 161	341 47 95	237 69 9	105 105 21 23 93 41	92 1, 342 62
		Tota		15, 974	69 144 525	285 321	630 75	335 135 47	70 1115 36 116 83 83	3, 582
		Total		16, 289	69 144 533	322 322 322	630	336 135 47	70 115 37 116 83 49	3, 617 84
		Court		Total	Connecticut: Bridgeport Hartford. District of Columbia.	Clay County Jake County Marion County	Iowa: Polk County Louisians: Caddo Parish Onashita Porish	Minnesota: Hennepin County. Ramsey County St. Louis County (southern part)	New York: Buffalo: Chemung County Chinton County Columbia County Eric County Franklin County	Monroe County New York City Ontario County.

<sup>1</sup> 29	4	123	5	179		:- : :	
20 02	∞	11 6 6	7	-	(9)	9	3
2 3	7	33 39 0 11 2	331	9	17	∞-r-	7 7 5
36	5	00 1	<u></u> ©		5		6
97	2	01- H-	П		6		7
<b>(</b>	4					1	
	က					1 6	
4	18	38 33 07 12	440	1	17 10	12	6 13
16	15	3 21 36 36	7 18 1	10	640	17 3	15
		12 11 32 1	17 28	57	(E)	38	6
		12 125 193 193 2	88 17	477	12 16 1	3.05	141 19 10 10
23	25	41004	11 6 3	-	(6)	œ	7 11
158	121	59 52 16		17.		12	1 10 17 17 8
95	43	69 78 50 48		40	82 78	35	74
57 8 164	38	38 888 303 172 172	330 40	339	3, 077 98 98	1 51 14 7	1 15 172 38
80 870	84	46 98 1, 138 606 360 40	185 494 60	839	3, 741 3, 741 3, 126	144 27 14	78 23 0 m
61 37 370	26	46 98 1, 141 613 361 40	185 496 60	1, 018 31	3,744 126 3	145 27 14	30 232 70 70
Orange County Orleans County Westchester County	North Carolina: Buncombe County Winston-Salem	Auglaice County Clark County Clark County Franklin County Hamilton County Lake County	Mahoning County. Montgomery County. Sandusky County.	Allegheny County	Montgoney County Montgoney County Philadelphia South Carolina: Tecentile County Texas: Orange County	Oral First district Third district Fourth district Fifth district	Virginia: Uprachburg Lynchburg Roanoke County Washington: Pierce County

1 Includes cases of children cared for part of the time in detention homes and part of the time elsewhere, but excludes cases of children also held in Jalls or police stations.

Includes cases of children cared for part of the time in Jalls or police stations and part of the time elsewhere.

Skeludes cases of children cared for part of the time in Jalls or police stations or detention homes and part of the time elsewhere.

Not shown where number of cases is less than 50.

Less than 1 per cent.

TABLE XI,—Charge on which families were referred in dependency and neglect cases dealt with by 53 specified courts during 1928

Charge not re- ported				992	8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8
Families referred in dependency and neglect cases		Other charge	Per cent 1	7	2 11 8 4 1 8 0 (3)
			Num- ber	468	10 111 G 3 14 10 0 3 3
		Question of custody	Per cent 1	8	30 6 8 37 7 7 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8
			Num- ber	563	65 28 42 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
		Financial need	Per cent 1	12	24 2 26 26 26 27 113 13 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15
	ı		Num- ber	859	11 17 17 17 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18
	rted	Insufficient parental care	Per cent 1	36	20 21 12 21 22 21 22 21 22 21 22 22 22 23 24 4 4 9 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6
	Charge reported	Insufi	Num- ber	2, 573	11 242 242 83 83 83 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84
	Chai	Improper conditions in home	Per cent 1	22	20
			Num- ber	1, 599	15 16 16 16 16 17 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18
		Abuse or cruel treat- ment	Per cent 1	3	00 00 00 00 00 00 00
			Num- ber	248	1 241 81 84 11 11 11 11 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12
		Abandon- ment or desertion	Per cent 1	12	26 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28
			Num- ber	851	87-81   151-44   11   11   11   11   11   11   11
		Total		7, 161	86.50 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
	Total			8, 153	326 326 6 1190 1174 1174 1183 883 883 883 883 883 883 883 883 88
Court				Total	Bridgeport Bridgeport Bridgeport Bridgeport Bridgeport Barford Columbia Clay County Jeanings County Louishans County Montgomery County Louishans Caddo Parish Monderlia Parish Minaesota: Hensepin County Ramsey County Ramsey County Ramsey County Columbia County Columbia County Columbia County Franklin County Franklin County Franklin County Franklin County Franklin County Columbia County Columbia County Franklin C

		1					
5	44000	14	60	13	1		1
П	2213	43	11	222	Н		#
7	98.4	17	3	14 26	-	13	
40	14 49 49	-1422-	61 69	245 18	-	16	nt.
19	100	100	9	22 12	4	5	1 per ce
= 2	96	0 0 0 00 00	25	383.2	8 -	m 1	2 Less than 1 per cent
- 53	60 54 41	64 30	35	22	25	23	2 Le
13	10 31 279 142	57 72	148	360 15 15	19	29-7-29	=
34	8442	16	27	10	27	99	
6,20	9 112 47	20 11 00 41 70 00	115	176 11	127	1 469	4
m	25-13	5 - 1	9	41-	4	2	
5	12.8	3 7 1 2	- 58 - 29	68	87	0000	1
13	9699	4 80 0	R	18	38	(0)	s less th
200	1 8 4 4 3 T	28 30	76	312	23	2 44	14 milies i
202	21 52 513 350	217 302 24 24	424 18	1, 766 1, 766 1, 1, 1	77.	124 124 2	48 ber of fa
21	21 513 350	303 303 24 24	424	1,766 1,766 1,1	177 111 3	124 224	48
-							wn whe
North Carolina: Buncombe County-Winston-Salem.	Ono: Auglaize County. Clark County. Cuyahoga County. Franklin County.	Hamilon County. Lake County. Mahoning County. Nontgonery County. Sandusky County.	Pennsylvania: Allegheny County. Borks County.	Lycournes County. Montgomery County. Philadelphia. South Carolina: Greenville County. Texas: Orange County.	Ufah: Thirst district. Third district. Fifth district.	Virgina: Vir	Washington: Flerce County

1 Not shown where number of families is less than 50.

Table XII.—Disposition in official dependency and neglect cases dealt with by 53 specified courts during 1928

		Disposition not re- ported		1						
		position	Per cent	1	4	2	. 2 <u>.</u>	2	5	(3)
		Other disposition	Number Per cent <sup>1</sup>	137	ro	15	00 OD 00	· m	5	33 1
		Child committed to institution	Per cent	22	55 44 2	26	38	98	19	38 31 18 18
		Child co to inst	Number	2,947	83 8 8	15 1 187 187	103	22.88	22 11	1, 115 1, 115 15
cases		Child committed to board, depart- ment, or agency	Number Per cent <sup>1</sup> Number Per cent <sup>1</sup>	26	80822	29	4	48 19	71	(3) 22 (1) 1
d neglect	ported	Child co to board ment, o		3, 551	1 36 427	828	-11 4.4	162 26 26 7	50	55 10 10 24 24 25 25 26 27
Official dependency and neglect cases Disnosition reported	osition re	Child placed under supervi- sion of individual other than pro- bation officer	Number Per cent <sup>1</sup> Number Per cent <sup>1</sup>	7	1	288	20	41.00	2	(3)
	Disp	Dismissed or Child placed under continued indeficult supervision of batton batton	Numper	666	m m	10 68	54	14 4		N (N ) 00 (D
Off.			Per cent	83	410	w 9	г	29		39 41 54
				3, 111	18	8 61	41 0	91	H 201	20 3 93 1,484 45 45
			Number Per cent	20	39 24 14	13	33	41 6		1128 1 318
		Dismi continu nii	Number	2, 718	77,34,27	933	0 B	139	81	26 33 32 14 8 8 8
•		Total		13, 463	56 142 533	16 5 247 322		3		3,616 84 3,616 84 33
		Total		13, 464	56 142 533	16 5 247 322	269			3, 617 3, 617 3, 847
		Court		Total	Connecticut: Bridgeport. Hartford District of Columbia	Lake County Jennings County Lake County Marion County	Monigomery County Iowa: Polk County Louisiana: Caddo Parish	Ouachta Farsh Minnesota: Hennepin County Ramsey County St Touis County Southern narr)		Columbia County Erie County Franklin County Monree County New York City Ontario County

<sup>2</sup> Less than 1 per cent.

<sup>1</sup>Not shown where "ber of cases is less than 50,

11 11	111111	111 1	1 1 1 1 1 1	11111	1111
		(3)	©	7	4
4100	210	1 0 4	(c) 1.0	· σ	6
53	81.81	42 42	15	67	17
9 6	68 68 112 172 2	84 147 6 70 70	339	82 2	14 10 12 12
55	8 46 14 91	23	5,62		10 13
201	26 7 427 83 197 22	80 4 80 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	969		23
1	1.8881	12 13	15	13	25
24 17 15	236	26	207 10 3	16	55.
	21 10	45	19 41	10	15
18	2 191 59 66	13 17 462 4	423 27	12	33
36	53.0	3   18	15 26	m	54 42
131	214 42 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 1	88 87	340 17	4	38
37 365 33 26	43 87 925 613 216 37	138 353 27 1, 018	2, 283	122 3	30 224 70
365 33 26	43 87 925 613 216 37	138 353 27 1,018	2, 284 66 34 34 34	122 3 7	30 224 8 70
Orleans County. Westchester County. North Carolina. Buncombe County.	Auglaize County Olark County Olark County Franklin County Hamilton County Lake County	Mandomic County Montgomery County Sandusky County Pennsylvania: Allegheny County Rerks County	Lycoming County— Monigomery County— Philadelphia— South Carolina: Greenville County— Trass: Orange County—	First district. Third district. Fourth district. Fifth district. Other counties.	Virginis Lynchburg Norfolk Roanoke County Washington: Pierce County

Table XIII.—Number of cases of delinquent children placed on probation and number discharged from probation by 45 specified courts during 1928 <sup>1</sup>

	Cases of delinquent children						
Court	Offi	cial	Unofficial				
	Placed on probation	Discharged from pro- bation	Placed on probation	Discharged from pro- bation			
Total	10, 301	7, 872	1, 055	621			
Connecticut: Bridgeport	150 248 586	136 237 530	4 18	6 2			
Adams County	103	8 5 37	19	10			
Lake County Marion County Monroe County	224 22	211	70 8	72 2 2 2 22			
Montgomery County Steuben County	$\begin{array}{c} 3 \\ 6 \\ 7 \end{array}$	2 6 6	9 22 63	22 49			
Louisiana; Caddo Parish	11 31	2 18	22 19	10 9			
Minnesota: Hennepin County Ramsey County	563 279	500 229					
St. Louis County (southern part)  Missouri: Jackson County	24	10 283	7	34			
New Jersey: Hudson County Mercer County	285 233	229 94		5			
New York:  Buffalo	179 4	158 7	8	4			
Columbia County Erie County Monroe County	10 111 170	11 81 17					
New York City	2, 887 11 241	1,756 4 451	113	86			
Ohio: Clark County	49	21	18				
Cuyahoga County Franklin County Hamilton County	693 460 13	242 374 7	13	250			
Lake County Mahoning County Sandusky County	14 212 7	9 125 12	12 4	12			
Pennsylvania: Berks County	45	10 1,600	195				
Philadelphia South Carolina: Greenville County Texas: Orange County	1, 842 41	25	2	2			
Utah: Second districtThird district	51	28	56 62	19 1			
Fifth district Carbon County Other counties	47 73 31	12 68 5	12 2 10	14 1 2			
Virginia; Lynchburg	84	69 230		6			
Norfolk	401	200					

<sup>1</sup> Includes only courts reporting cases of children discharged from probation; Adams and Jennings Counties, Ind., and Jackson County, Mo., reported cases of children discharged from probation, but did not report delinquency cases.

Table XIV.—Duration of probation in cases of delinquent children discharged from probation by 45 specified courts during 1928

	-	— Duration of probation tion not reported	50 12		, , , , ,			C1			38
		5 years and more									1 1 1
		4 years, less than	75	1							55
g g		3 years, less than	153	12		2		-	44		59
n probatio		2 years, less than	372	111	1	2		10	16	5	74
harged from	Duration of probation reported	18 months, less than 2 years	200	14 43 43	C1 00 F1	1 2		16	∞ ∞ o	100	5 51
ildren disc		1 year, less than 18 months	1, 191	48 40 64	07 H P	2 00		12 22 44	9 2	30,13	57
Cases of delinquent children discharged from probation		9 months, less than 1 year	984	19 49 58	1	# H 1200		31 41 15	38	11  2-	146
		3 months, 6 months, 9 months, less than less than less than 1 year	1, 948	31 70 127	27 12	20 212	œ	169 37 1 1 89	52	9 1 9	514 2 85
С		3 months, less than 6	2,018	23 41 76	14	3 16 13	11	199 42 2 155	51 6	J - 4 60 m	776
		Less than 3 months	1, 190	12 18 95	23 23	00 C1 10 4	111	88 61 50 50	29	9 20	258
		Total	8, 481	136 243 532	8 5 5 8 7 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	2 0 0 X 12	12	498 229 10 317	234	1822	1,756
		Total	8, 493	136 243 532	8 5 47 83 83	0.4.8.7.7	12	500 229 10 317	94	1322	1, 756 4 537
	Court		Total	Connecticut: Bridgeport. Bridgeport. Hartford District of Columbia.	Adams County Jennings County Lake County Marion County	Monroe County Montgomery County Steuben County Vermillion County	Louisiana: Caddo Parish Ouachita Parish	Minnesota: Hennepin County. I Ransey County. St. Louis County (southern part). Missouri: Jackson County.	New Jersey Mudson County Mercer County New York: Buffalo	Clinton County Columbia County Erie County Monroe County	New York City Orieans County Westchester County

Table XIV.—Duration of probation in cases of delinquent children discharged from probation by 45 specified courts during 1928—Continued

	Duration	of proha- tion not reported	1		1	7			
		5 years and more	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Ţ		10			
		4 years, less than 5	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	3		15			
0		3 years, less than	ĭ	5	1	28			
n probatio		2 years, less than 3	20	37	œ	141			4
harged fror	n reported	18 months, less than 2 years	4	4524	· 60	267			16
lldren disc	Duration of probation reported	1 year, less than 18 months	2-6	92 51	907	367		F	132
Cases of delinquent children discharged from probation		9 months, less than I year	3	1423	14.	312 2		т	19
ases of deli		6 months, less than 9	99	166 34	30	245 3		18	19 19 43
Ö		3 months, less than 6	7.4	36	44	4964	9	9 2	15 20
		Less than 3 months, 6 months, 9 months, 3 months less than less than 1 is that 1 is th	1,00	8827	36	112 115	14.	62	9 22
		Total	21	374 257	136	1, 593 1, 593	47	1 <b>9</b> 2	7 69 236
		Total	21	374 257	137	1, 600 27	47	-89	236
		Court	Ohio: Clark County	Cuyahoga County Franklin County Hamilton County	Lake County	Pennsylvania: Berks County Philadelphia: South Carolina: Greenville County	Utah: Second district	Third district Fifth district	Other counties Virginia: Linchburg Norfolk

Table XV.—Reason for discharge in cases of delinquent children discharged from probation by 45 specified courts during 1928

				-					
	Case	s of delinque	nt children	discharge	d from prol	ation			
		Reason for discharge							
Court	Total cases	Further supervision not recommended or discharged with improvement before reaching age limit	Chiid commit- ted to institu- tion	Child commit- ted to agency or individ- ual	Child reached age limit	Other reason			
Total	8, 493	5, 338	1,177	377	866	735			
Connecticut: Bridgeport. Hartford. District of Columbia.	136 243 532	95 208 212	16 28 39	181	17 78	8 7 22			
Indiana: Adams County Jennings County	8 5	5		 	1 4	2 1			
Lake County Marion County	47 283	37 180	3 28 5	2	28	7 45			
Monroe County Montgomery County Steuben County	9 4 28	4 2 17	1	1 5		6			
Vermillion County Louisiana: Caddo Parish	55 12	30	3		17	5			
Ouachita Parish Minnesota:	27	11 17		5	2	3			
Hennepin County Ramsey County St. Louis County (southern part)	500 229 10	351 174 6	114 43 3	1 4	28 8	6			
Missouri: Jackson County	317	249	28		2	38			
Hudson County  Mercer County  New York:	234 94	91 14	60 34	10	27	46 46			
Buffalo	158 11	118 10	37 1			3			
Clinton County Columbia County Erie County Monroe County	11 81 17	9 66 3	1 9 10	3 3	1	3 1			
New York City Orleans County Westchester County	1,756 4	1, 294 2	273	12	133	44 2			
Ohio:	537 21	389	28	6	93	21			
Franklin County	242 374	17 73 323	77 40	26 3	21 2	2 45 6			
Hamilton County Lake County Mahoning County	257 9 137	112 2 95	27 1 14	10	33 4 7	1 75 2 20			
Sandusky County  Pennsylvania:  Borks County	12 10	3	1 2		6	2			
Philadelphia South Carolina; Greenville County	1,600 27	756 9	212 9	78	337 1	<sup>2</sup> 217 8			
Utah:	1 47	39	5	1		1 2			
Second district Third district Fifth district	1 26	26				1			
Carbon County Other counties Virginia:	69 7	63 4	3	3		3			
Lynchburg Norfolk	69 236	47 175	3 19	4 17	7	15 18			
Norfolk					7				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Includes 2 "reason for discharge not reported." <sup>2</sup> Includes 8 "reason for discharge not reported."

Table XVI.—Number of cases of dependent and neglected children placed under supervision and number discharged from supervision by 20 specified courts during

	Cases of dependent and neglected children							
Court	Off	icial	Unofficial					
	under	Discharged from supervision	under	Discharged from supervision				
Total	2, 428	1,915	47	21				
Connecticut: Bridgeport Hartford. District of Columbia Indiana: Adams County Lake County Montgomery County Louisiana: Ouachita Parish. Minnesota: Ramsey County New York: Buffalo. Columbia County Montgomery County Columbia County Monroe County New York City	9 91 1 20	3 1 21 2 3 5 6 56 56 1 30 34 941	3 7 13	2 1 7				
Ohio: Cuyahoga County Lake County Sandusky County Pennsylvania: Berks County Philadelphia South Carolina: Greenville County Utah: Other counties 2	6 17 4 423 27	50 1 2 4 733 19	5 2 2 2	9				
Virginia: Norfolk	33	3						

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Only 20 of the 53 courts reporting dependency and neglect cases reported cases of dependent and neglected children discharged from supervision; Adams County, Ind., reported cases of children discharged from supervision, but did not report dependency cases.

<sup>2</sup> Counties other than Carbon and those included in the first, second, third, fourth, and fifth districts.

Table XVII.—Duration of supervision in cases of dependent and neglected children discharged from supervision by 20 specified courts during 1928 <sup>1</sup>

	Cases of dependent and neglected children discharged from supervision												
				Dura	tion (	of sup	ervisi	on re	portec	1			ervi- rted
Court .	Total cases	Total	Less than 3 months	3 months, less than 6	6 months, less than 9	9 months, less than 1 year	1 year, less than 18 months	18 months, less than 2 years	2 years, less than 3	3 years, less than 4	4 years, less than 5	5 years and more	Duration of supervision not reported
Total	1, 936	1, 935	366	465	346	218	241	113	117	32	25	12	1
Connecticut: Bridgeport. Hartford. District of Columbia. Indiana: Adams County. Lake County. Montgomery County. Louisiana: Ouachita Parish. Minnesota: Ramsey County. New York: Buffalo. Columbia County. Monroe County. New York City. Ohio: Cuyahoga County. Cuyahoga County. Lake County.	5 6 13 56 1 30 34 941 50	3 1 21 2 5 5 13 56 1 30 34 941 50 2	1  8 16 1 1 25 206 35	7  1 5 10 9 9 343 8 1	4 1 1 1 7 223 5	1 1 1 1 1 	2 1 3  3 -10  7 -55	1 5	1 2	2		2	1
Sandusky County Pennsylvania: Berks County Philadelphia South Carolina: Green ville	2 2 4 733	2 2 4 733	4 57	68	88	97	157	96	110	27	25	8	
South Carolina: Greenville County. Utah: Other counties 2 Virginia: Norfolk	28 1 3	28 1 3	9 1	2	7	1	22	3		3		2	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Only 20 of the 53 courts reporting cases of dependency and neglect reported cases of dependent and neglected children discharged from supervision.

<sup>2</sup> Counties other than Carbon and those included in the first, second, third, fourth, and fifth districts.

Table XVIII.—Reason for discharge in cases of dependent and neglected children discharged from supervision by 20 specified courts during 1928 <sup>1</sup>

	Cases	of depen	dent and	l neglect	ed child	ren disch	arged fro	om supe	rvision
			Re	eason for	discharg	e reporte	ed		Reason for dis- charge not re- ported
Court	Total cases	Total	Further super-vision not recommended or discharged with improvement before reaching age	Child com- mitted to insti- tution	Child committed to agency	Child com- mitted to indi- vidual	Child reached age limit	Other reason	
Total	1,936	1, 931	1, 179	244	117	183	35	173	5
Connecticut: Bridgeport Hartlord District of Columbia Indiana: Adams County Lake County Montgomery County Louisiana: Ouachita Parish Minnesota: Ramsey County New York: Buffalo Columbia County Monroe County New York City Ohio: Cuyahoga County Lake County	3 1 21 2 5 6 6 13 56 1 30 34 941 50 2	3 1 21 2 5 6 13 566 13 34 941 50 2	3 1 7 1 1 4 37 5 662 7	1 2 1 1 222 2 2	12 7 34 13 35	3 4 4 2 9	3 14	4 2 1 5 3 6 26 4	
Sandusky County Pennsylvania: Berks County Philadelphia South Carolina: Greenville County Utah: Other counties <sup>2</sup> Virginia: Norfolk	2 4 733 28 1 3	2 4 728 28 1 3	447	4 5 6	2 2 5	146	14 2	114 7 1	5

<sup>1</sup> Only 20 of the 53 courts reporting dependency and neglect cases reported cases of dependent and neglected children discharged from supervision.

2 Counties other than Carbon and those included in the first, second, third, fourth, and fifth districts.







